## CRITICAL COMMENTARY



Sources in brackets are lost; **Q** is not represented in the stemma, since it is only a quotation from the concerto.

#### Description

Sk<sup>1</sup> Sketch of the first movement for the first version of the concerto, 1 folio in oblong format of 332 × 257 mm with 20 staves, housed in Jindřichův Hradec: Státní oblastní archiv Třeboň, pobočka Jindřichův Hradec (CZ-JIa), shelf mark XVI 46<sup>a</sup>.

At the head of the folio, Dvořák wrote: Allegro ma non tropo [sic] / <u>Concert</u>. pro Joachima / Sichrov  $18\frac{5}{7}79$ . / Ant. Dvořák [Allegro ma non troppo / Concerto for Joachim / Sichrov 5 July 1879. / Ant. Dvořák].

Sk<sup>2</sup> Sketch of the second movement for the first version of the concerto, 1 folio in oblong format of 333 × 256 mm with 20 staves, housed in Prague, Národní muzeum – České muzeum hudby – Muzeum Antonína Dvořáka (CZ-Pnm/MAD), shelf mark S 76/1532.

At the head of the folio, Dvořák wrote: <u>Andante Concert</u> Dvořák. The sketch has no date.

Sk<sup>3</sup> Sketch of the third movement for the first version of the concerto, 2 folios in oblong format of 355 × 230 mm with 20 staves, housed in Prague, Národní muzeum – České muzeum hudby – Muzeum Antonína Dvořáka (CZ-Pnm/MAD), shelf mark S 76/1533.

At the head of folio 1 recto, Dvořák wrote: <u>Houslový kon-</u> <u>cert</u> / Finale / A. Dvořák [Violin concerto / Finale / A. Dvořák]. At the end of the folio 2 verso, Dvořák wrote: Dokončeno 18<sup>13</sup>779 / na Sichrově. / Fine [Completed on 13 July 1879 in Sichrov. / Fine].

**Sk**<sup>4</sup> Draft of the first movement for the second version of the concerto, 1 double leaf in oblong format of 333 × 257 mm

with 16 staves, housed in Brno, Moravské zemské muzeum (CZ-Bm), shelf mark A 6301.

At the head of folio 1 recto, Dvořák wrote: *Koncert – nové* zpracování 18<sup>4</sup>/<sub>4</sub>80. Dvořák [Concerto – revised on 4 April 1880. Dvořák].

Sk<sup>5</sup> Continuation of the draft of the first movement for the second version of the concerto and sketch for the development and reprise, housed in Prague, Národní muzeum – České muzeum hudby – Muzeum Antonína Dvořáka (CZ-Pnm/MAD), shelf mark S 76/1536.

3 folios: folio 1 in vertical format of  $315 \times 246$  mm with 18 staves, folio 2 in vertical format of  $315 \times 247$  mm with 10 staves, folio 3 in oblong format of  $247 \times 314$  mm with 12 staves.

Sk<sup>6</sup> Draft of the third movement for the second version of the concerto, 1 double leaf in oblong format of 333×257 mm with 16 staves, housed in Brno, Moravské zemské muzeum (CZ-Bm), shelf mark A 6301. At the head of folio 1 recto, Dvořák wrote: *Finale Skizza* 

1880. Antonín Dvořák.

- Q Autograph quotation of the main theme from the first movement (solo violin), 1 folio in oblong format of 220 × 142 mm without staves, housed in Prague, Národní muzeum České muzeum hudby Muzeum Antonína Dvořáka (CZ-Pnm/MAD), shelf mark S 76/1537. Under the quotation on the left: 18<sup>22</sup>/<sub>1</sub>86.; on the right: Antonín Dvořák. (Verso blank.)
- Autograph full score of the first version B 96; lost.
   Dvořák probably retained some folios for the second version of the concerto from the autograph full score of the first version.
- A<sup>x</sup> Folios 40–43 of A (two double leaves), corresponding to III, 202–304, substituted by Dvořák with four new folios before numbering the pages of A (with the page numbers 82–89 for the newly inserted four folios), in oblong format of 330 × 257 mm, housed in Prague, Národní muzeum – České muzeum hudby – Muzeum Antonína Dvořáka, shelf mark S 76/1535.
- A Autograph full score of the second version B 108, 73 folios in oblong format of 330×257 mm (folios 60 and 61 with pp. 119–120 stuck together, folio 67 with pp. 131– 132 of smaller dimensions), housed in Prague, Národní muzeum – České muzeum hudby – Muzeum Antonína Dvořáka (CZ-Pnm/MAD), shelf mark S 76/1534.

At the head of folio 1, page 1 Dvořák wrote in three columns: Konzert / Op: 53. // für die Violine mit Orchester componirt und / dem großen Meister Jos: Joachim / in tiefster Hochachtung gewidmet von Ant: Dvořák. // Sichrov im Juli / 1879. / neu bearbeitet im April / u: Mai / 1880. [Concerto / Op. 53 // composed for the violin with orchestra and / dedicated to the great master Joseph Joachim / with deepest respect by Antonín Dvořák. // Sychrov in July / 1879. / revised in April / and May / 1880.] At the bottom of page 143, after the final

bar of the third movement, Dvořák dated the manuscript as follows: *Přepracováno / a dokončeno / 25. máje 1880. / Ant. Dvořák* [*Revised / and completed / 25 May 1880. / Ant. Dvořák*]. Page numbers, written by Dvořák, start from folio 1 recto; the first movement was written on pp. 1–38, the second movement on pp. 39–62, the third movement originally on pp. 63–141 (pp. 119 and 120 on two folios stuck together); for the cut of 66 measures in the original pp. 131–135, a new folio of smaller dimensions written by a copyist has been inserted after p. 130 with the page numbers 131–132, then the original pages 135–141 were renumbered in lead pencil by the editor as pages 137–143. Page numbers in this critical commentary are quoted according to the final renumbering.

During the compositional process, Dvořák may have retained some folios from the discarded first version of the concerto. In the course of revising the work, he used many new folios of different paper types for the manuscript of the second version, and exchanged or pasted several of these with new folios of other, different paper types. As a result, the manuscript comprises 73 folios of different paper types with a varying number of staves, as well as pastings with different paper types. By crossreferencing the different paper types with the sketches of the first and second version, the definitive score and information on the revision process in the correspondence of the composer, it is possible to identify at least seven main stages in the development of the score:

c . 1

• • •

Stage	Paper type (number of staves)	Phases of the compositional process
1	16 staves	Folios possibly retained from the first version B 96
2	16 staves	First written record of the second version B 108
3	16 staves with "Nr. 33"	Continuation of the first written record of the second version on a new
	on recto	paper type
4	14 staves	New folios for the second version of the second movement
5	20 staves	First revision on new folios, probably after the meeting with Joachim on 16 September 1882
6	16 staves	Second revision on new folios, prob- ably after the orchestra rehearsal on 17 November 1882
7	16 staves (smaller format)	Third revision (cuts) at the suggestion of Robert Keller after 16 December 1882

DI

Except for the 8 folios of  $A^x$ , no other exchanged folio has survived, so that the parts of the score discarded during the composition and revision process – and therefore what has been changed in the definitive version – cannot be reconstructed with any degree of certainty. Since Dvořák used a different type of black ink for the first written record and the revisions, it is nevertheless possible to determine whether any revision on a given page was made during the same stage of development (= revision with the same ink) or during a later stage of development (= revision with a darker or lighter ink). A served as engraver's copy for E. It was also used by Simrock as reference source for AS, AO and AP in relation to the revisions Dvořák made after the orchestra rehearsal with Joachim on 17 November 1882, as well as the cuts suggested by Robert Keller: before the orchestra rehearsal with Joachim, Dvořák had already prepared AS, AO and AP to serve as engraver's copies for ES, EO and EP, so they then had to be adjusted according to the last revisions Dvořák made on A after the rehearsal. Editing of the manuscript A for publication was executed by Simrock's editors in four main stages:

# Stage Type of Editorial insertions in A writing

	witting	
1	lead pencil	<ul> <li>edition number 8329 of E on the bottom of page 1</li> <li>page numbers for E at the end of the corresponding last bar</li> <li>corrections in the title</li> <li>numbering of staves for E</li> <li>tidying and standardisation of tempo, dynamics, agogic and articulation</li> <li>performance indications (e.g. rehearsal letters, "E muta in D")</li> <li>insertions; standardisation and emendation of the notation (e.g. number 3 on triplets, accidentals, stems, slurs)</li> <li>written clarification of ambiguous notation with letters</li> </ul>
2	blue crayon (Robert Keller?)	<ul> <li>corrections in title</li> <li>emendation of the pencil insertions (e.g. page numbers for E)</li> <li>emendation of notation (e.g. <i>b</i> on p. 24, m. 170)</li> <li>addition of dynamic and agogic markings</li> <li>suggested and implemented cuts and cancellations</li> </ul>
3	red ink (Robert Keller)	<ul> <li>addition of tempo and general indication for the print on the bottom of p. 1</li> <li>addition of for in solo violin part in m. 14</li> <li>correction of notation on pp. 107–108</li> </ul>
4	red crayon	<ul> <li>sign X and mark to the general indication by Keller on p. 1</li> </ul>

The most relevant editorial interventions (except for the emendation of obvious errors in the notation) affect dynamics and agogic markings in the orchestra with a general reduction in the original level of dynamic strengh (e.g. *fpp* instead of *fp*).

XS First draft of the Solo Violin Part, copied by Dvořák from A; lost.

Dvořák probably copied a first draft of the solo violin part from **A** at the end of August 1882 for his meeting with Joachim in Berlin at the beginning of September 1882. Joachim would have played the work from this initial copy as he and Dvořák discussed the piece.

**JS** Adjustment of the Solo Violin Part by Joachim; lost or written directly in **XS**.

After the meeting with Joachim, Dvořák wrote to Simrock on 16 September: "Er [Joachim] war selbst so liebenswürdig, die Prinzipalstimme einzurichten" ("He [Joachim] was even so kind as to adjust the solo part"). Since no source for the separate solo violin part other than **ES** has survived, it cannot be established whether Joachim himself wrote his own suggested changes directly into **XS** or made himself a new copy of the solo violin part with his own adjustments. Joachim's version likely included the fingerings and few bowings that were ultimately printed in **E** and **ES**. If it did not, Joachim's fingering and bowings must have been inserted later in **AS** and transmitted through this source in **E** and **ES**.

AS Autograph of the Solo Violin Part copied by Dvořák from XS/JS to serve as engraver's copy for the solo violin part in E, ES and EP; lost.

Once the text of the solo violin part had been established by Dvořák in conjunction with Joachim, Dvořák transferred it himself into A (mostly in the empty staff below or above the solo violin staff) without fingerings and bowings. He also wrote it out in its entirety in a copy to serve as engraver's copy for the solo violin part in E, ES and EP. According to his letters to Simrock from 16 September (quoted above under JS) and 2 November 1882 (quoted below under AP), Dvořák prepared AS between these two dates. Since Joachim's fingerings and bowings are missing in the solo violin part of A, it is possible that they were not added by Joachim in XS/JS, but only later in AS, after XS/JS had been transferred by Dvořák into A - after the rehearsal with the orchestra of the Berlin Academy on 17 November 1882. After this rehearsal, further revisions in the solo violin part must have been implemented in AS before it was handed over to Simrock for the print. Therefore, AS would give the final and most authoritative version of the solo violin part as developed by Dvořák in conjunction with Joachim.

AP Autograph Piano Reduction to serve as engraver's copy for EP; lost.

> Together with **A**, **AS** and **AO**, Dvořák also prepared the piano reduction of the concerto for the print by Simrock before he left for Berlin on 10 November 1882 in order to rehearse the work with Joachim and the Academy orchestra and deliver all the engraver's copies to his pub

lisher. On 2 November 1882, he wrote to Simrock: "Nächste Tage komme ich mit dem Konzert [nach Berlin], die Stimmen [= **AS** and **AO**] sind fertig, es fehlen mir noch ein paar Seiten vom Klavierauszug." ("Next days I am coming with the concerto [to Berlin], the parts [= **AS** and **AO**] are finished, I am still missing a few pages of the piano reduction.") The piano reduction was completed by Dvořák himself between 2 and 10 November.

AO Autograph Orchestral Parts to serve as engraver's copy for EO; lost.

Together with A, AS and AP Dvořák also prepared the orchestral parts of the concerto for the print by Simrock before he departed for Berlin on 10 November 1882 in order to rehearse the work with Joachim and the Academy orchestra and deliver all the engraver's copies to his publisher. According to his letters to Simrock from 16 September (quoted above under JS) and from 2 November 1882 (quoted above under AP), Dvořák prepared AO between these two dates. After the last revisions and cuts in A following the rehearsal with the orchestra in Berlin and the suggestions by Simrock's editor-in-chief Robert Keller, Dvořák finally asked Simrock in a letter on 27 December 1882 to let Keller carry over the latest changes from A into AO. However, some of the very last revisions by Dvořák and editing indications by Simrock's editors in A could not be transferred in time into AO, as a comparison between A and E with EO shows.

ES First Edition Solo Violin Part, published by N. Simrock, Berlin, between April and June 1883, Plate No. 8330 8331. As the plate number indicates, ES was published together with EO (Plate No. 8330) and EP (Plate No. 8331) to serve for executions both with orchestra and with piano accompaniment. Like the solo violin line in E, ES derives directly from AS.

E First Edition Full Score, published between April and June 1883 by N. Simrock, Berlin, Plate No. 8329. E was printed on the basis of A, except for the solo violin line, for which AS served as engraver's copy. E represents therefore the definitive version of both the orchestral and solo violin parts (in the case of the latter, together with ES). For this reason, it has been considered as our most authoritative source for the orchestral parts as well as for the solo violin part (in the case of the latter, however, together with ES). As the numerous editorial interventions of Simrock's editors in A show, many of Dvořák's markings have been standardized and modified directly in his autograph, often in order to balance the parts of orchestra and solo violin. Since these editorial interventions have been made in Dvořák's autograph score to be used for the print, they clearly had been accepted by the composer and had his full authorisation.

EO First Edition Orchestral Parts, published together with ES between April and June 1883 by N. Simrock, Berlin, Plate No. 8330.

> EO was printed on the basis of AO, which had been adjusted by Robert Keller to include the latest revisions and cuts made by Dvořák in A after the rehearsal in Berlin

on 17 November 1882. Since some of the final revisions and editing indications in **A** could not be transferred in time into **EO**, various places in this source give an earlier version than the final version in **A** and **E**. For our edition, we have therefore considered it as secondary to **E** and **A**. However, it has been consulted where both **E** and **A** were unclear or obviously erroneous.

EP

P First Edition Piano Score (Viol. + Pf.), published together with ES between April and June 1883 by N. Simrock, Berlin, Plate No. 8331.

The piano reduction in **EP** was printed on the basis of **AP**, which Dvořák wrote before his departure for Berlin on 10 November 1882, i.e. before he had made his final revisions in **A** and Simrock's editors had prepared **A** for the print with their numerous editorial markings. The solo violin line above the piano part was probably printed on the basis of **AS**, but without Joachim's fingerings and bowings as in **A**.

Long after Dvořák's death, ES and EP were reprinted after being subjected to a complete revision in which dynamics, articulation markings and even rhythms were altered quite arbitrarily and bowdlerized to an astonishing extent. Pedal markings are added liberally throughout EP. In the bottom left corner of the final page of each text, we find a name inscribed in small type: "Rev. Kulenkampff" in ES and "Rev. Paul Kletzki" in EP. Pawel Kletzki (1900-1973) lived in Berlin from 1921 until 1933, when he left Germany because of the Nazis' rise to power; Alwin Georg Kulenkampff (1898-1948) was one of the most prominent violinists in Germany in the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century and had the distinction of being the soloist in the premiere of Robert Schumann's violin concerto in Berlin in 1937. It can therefore be established with certainty that these revisions had nothing to do with Dvořák, though the publishing house Simrock plastered the words "Original Edition" over the covers of these reprints.

For a more detailed description of the sources, see the Critical Commentary to the edition of the full score (BA 10422).

#### Relative importance of sources: Solo Violin

For our edition, both **ES** and **E** (solo violin line) have been considered as the main sources for the solo violin part, since they were printed independently of one another on the basis of Dvořák's engraver's copy for the solo violin part **AS**. Lacunae or errata in **ES** have been filled or amended using **E** and vice versa. For the exact positioning of agogic and dynamic indications in the solo violin part in the score of our edition, preference has been given to **E** and **A** (as engraver's copy for **E**), since these indications have been set in **E** and **A** with respect to the parallel orchestral parts. In places where **ES** and **E** are questionable, we referred to **A** as the next most reliable indicator of Dvořák's intentions and of the editing at Simrock. In rare cases, the solo violin line in **EP** has been consulted to solve ambiguities between **ES**, **E** and **A**.

Like **E** (but unlike **A** and **EP**), the solo violin line in **ES** includes fingerings and bowings by Joachim. However, in our edition of the full score and in the separate solo violin part, we have included only the fingerings and bowings already writ-

ten by Dvořák in **A** (mostly the number 0 for open string and the indication "4<sup>ta</sup> corda") – which certainly reflect Dvořák's opinions – but not Joachim's fingerings and bowings – which surely reflected the personal preferences of Joachim and did not involve Dvořák at all. Joachim's fingerings and bowings are nonetheless given in the solo violin line in our piano score for purposes of documentation. In three cases, where the notation or the slurs and bowings differ significantly between **A** and **E/ES**, we have given both versions, with the easier one by Joachim in the smaller ossia above the solo violin line. Here Joachim probably suggested a technically more comfortable version, which was then carried over in the definitive print by Simrock with Dvořák's authorisation.

#### Relative importance of sources: Piano Reduction

Whereas for the edition of the solo violin part we can refer to more up-to-date sources like ES and E, EP is the only surviving source for Dvořák's piano reduction. Apparently, little effort was made to fully update the piano and solo part in EP with the changes that were implemented after the rehearsal on 17 November 1882. Only the cuts Dvořák made in A after 16 December 1882 at the suggestion of Robert Keller (corresponding to stage 7 in the phases of the compositional process listed under A) were realized in EP in order to make sure that the measures of A, E and ES correspond to those of EP. But many of the changes Dvořák made in the orchestral parts after the rehearsal with Joseph Joachim and the Berlin Music Academy orchestra on 17 November 1882 (corresponding to stage 6 in the phases of the compositional process listed under A) were not carried over into EP. The same applies to some of the modifications implemented in conjunction with the cuts. This can occasionally be seen in A, where the version given in the piano part of EP was struck or erased by Dvořák in his autograph score, but is still visible next to or under the definitive version then given in E. Similarly, only a small number of the many interventions by Simrock's editors in A (corresponding to the four stages of the editorial insertions listed under A) adopted in the definitive print version of E with Dvořák's authorisation have been carried over into EP. In order to achieve a piano part corresponding to the definitive version of the concerto, especially with respect to agogic markings, dynamics and articulation - but in some cases even with respect to pitches and rhythm - it was therefore necessary to consult the orchestral parts in A, E and EO and align the piano part in EP with these sources.

#### GENERAL ANNOTATIONS

The present edition offers a critical reconstruction of Dvořák's piano reduction of his Violin Concerto, Op. 53 according to the definitive version of the work. Our reconstruction is based upon **EP**, the only surviving source for Dvořák's piano reduction. However, it aligns the solo and the piano parts in **EP** with the definitive version of the score in **A**, **E**, **ES** and **EO**, since **EP** (as partly also **EO**) reflects an earlier stage of the concerto. In this way, the present piano reduction aims to be both a practical and an historical-critical edition: practical in that it corresponds

to the final version of the score authorized by the composer; historical-critical, since it is based upon all extant direct sources of the concerto and gives an account of each and every editorial intervention that had to be taken due to discrepancies between Dvořák's piano reduction and the final version of the full score.

To achieve both these goals, the general and specific annotations in this Critical Commentary document each editorial intervention with an explanation of the reasons for the decision taken by the editor. Details of the early version of the score carried over (through AP) into EP but subsequently rejected by Dvořák or modified by Simrock's editors with his authorisation in the final revision and editing phases of the concerto can be quite clearly deciphered in A. Here the parts erased or struck by Dvořák which correspond to the version in EP are mostly visible under his definitive version or under his deletions. Similarly, the variants added later by Dvořák and therefore missing in EP can mostly be distinguished in A, since for these additions he generally used a darker, thicker, lighter or thinner ink than that of the previous version. In the same way, all editorial interventions by Simrock's editor can be easily recognized in A since they have been inserted with lead pencil, blue or red crayon and red ink. By comparison with the definitive print version of the score in E and of the solo part in ES, we can finally infer the few variants in EP which have not been modified in A, but only in the very last phase of editing, directly before printing.

Generally, we can trace which part of the score carried over into EP was later rejected or modified by the composer or by Simrock's editors with his authorisation. By contrast, we have no source that can tell us with certainty how the composer would have adapted the altered parts of his orchestral score for the piano reduction if he had written it after the revisions and the editorial interventions missing in EP. However, we can deduce and reconstruct the piano part on the basis of the definitive version of the full score and the way it was reduced by Dvořák in EP. The frequent modification of dynamics and agogic markings in A by Simrock's editors – such as *fp* amended to *fpp* – can serve as an example here. If Dvořák had fashioned the piano reduction on the basis of the definitive version of the score rather than on the basis of an earlier version of the score that he later revised, it would indeed be theoretically possible that he might have reduced *fpp* (as given in the definitive version of the full score after the last revision) to *fp* in his piano reduction (possibly because it is more appropriate to the piano). It is evident in other places in EP, however, that he aimed in his piano part to follow the dynamics in the orchestral part as closely as possible. As such, it seems much more likely that he would not have deliberately reduced *fpp* to *fp*, but rather transmitted the *fpp* from the orchestral part into the piano reduction. The *fp* in **EP**, then, simply reflects that the orchestral part in the earlier version of the score still had *fp* when he arranged his piano reduction.

However, it is still remotely possible that Dvořák, if he had based his piano reduction on the definitive version of the score, would have changed the dynamics of the definitive score in his piano reduction in a way matching the version of the full score that he himself rejected. Thus, we give a precise account of each editorial intervention in the general and specific annotations so that the original piano part from the early version of the concerto in **EP** is always documented and the reasons for all editorial decisions are always clear. Where possible, we also specify if the revision decipherable in **A** was made by Dvořák or by Simrock's editor. Where this is not possible, we refer more generally to the version in **A** "before revision" or "prior to revision", meaning here the version prior to all revisions and editing interventions made after the rehearsal with Joseph Joachim and the Berlin Music Academy orchestra on 17 November 1882.

The specific annotations also give an account of the few places where the differences between the score in **A**, **E** and **EO** and piano part in **EP** are not derived from the early version of the score, but result rather from a deliberate modification of the piano part by Dvořák. Where there has been no revision in the full score but the piano reduction in **EP** nonetheless deviates from the version in the full score, these discrepancies appear to be the result of deliberate decisions by the composer and do not indicate that Dvořák could not refer to the definitive version of the full score when devising his piano reduction. In our edition, we therefore do not align the piano part to the full score in these cases, leaving Dvořák's piano part as given in **EP** and offering a possible alternative close to the version of the full score in the specific annotations.

Dvořák's own notation, nomenclature, clefs, spelling of dynamic and agogic markings and note groupings have been retained, as far as they were not contradictory. Editorial interventions made for the print by Simrock's editors in **A** have been considered as authorized by Dvořák. Inconsistencies in notation have been standardized only when no difference of meaning was implied. Furthermore:

- Dynamic and agogic markings, such as *diminuendo*, *crescendo*, *ritardando* are differently abbreviated in the sources, without evidence of any preference or relevant distinction in meaning. In our edition, we therefore consistently adopt the standard abbreviation *dim.*, *cresc.*, *rit*. Only where Dvořák did not abbreviate the marking at all in A (e.g. *crescendo* or *cre-scen-do* over several bars, *espressivo*, *dolce e diminuendo*) did we transmit the marking as in the source, since in these cases he probably intended a special emphasis on the marking.
- 2. In the sources, when a main note is preceded by one or more grace notes (Vorschlag), or a trill ends in a turn (Nachschlag), the grace notes have not been consistently slurred to or from the main note. In A, Dvořák wrote only some of these slurs; to these E, ES, EP added most of the others, with varying consistency E being the most complete in this regard. Following this tendency in the sources towards a consistent notation of these slurs, we have notated all slurs to and from grace notes. Only where the main notes are already slurred (e.g. II, 71, 144), an extra slur from the grace notes is clearly unnecessary (all such slurs are, indeed, omitted consistently in the sources).

Grace notes have been written in the sources mostly with stroke through the stem. In the few cases where the stroke was missing, we added the missing line that was evidently presumed in the sources into our edition.

- 3. Accidentals with trills are placed differently in the sources, sometimes above *tr*, more often however before *tr* due to lack of space. The latter case obviously carries with it no conceivable implication as is sometimes held to be the case in earlier nineteenth-century music that trills should start with the upper note. Since evidently there is no difference in meaning between the two types of notation, we adopted the modern convention with accidental above *tr*. In a few cases, we added an accidental over *tr* or over the mordent where all sources implied it, but did not notate it (e.g. I, 190).
- Dvořák's varying use of performance indications "sul G" and "sulla 4<sup>ta</sup> corda" in A have been standardized to "4<sup>ta</sup> corda", since there is no difference in meaning between them.
- 5. Dvořák's indication "Solo" in A for entries of the solo violin or a single orchestral instrument with a solo part have been struck with lead pencil by Simrock's editors for the print of the score and consequently not adopted in E (except for the very first entry of the solo violin in I, 5). In ES, each entry of the solo violin and each entry of the full orchestra without solo violin are marked respectively as "Solo" or "Tutti"; in EP neither "Solo" nor "Tutti" are present; in EO "Solo" appears before the entry of one instrument with a solo part as in Dvořák's struck indications in A. In our edition of the piano reduction, we omit them as in E.
- 6. Dvořák usually wrote his staccato signs as dots, but occasionally they are so clearly long strokes that he evidently intended dashes. Sometimes his dots are of varying lengths, veering towards dashes. This not always consistent and clear distinction between dots and dashes in **A** has been maintained wherever possible. Where a staccato in **A** could be described as "between a dot and a dash" or the use of the two forms of staccato by Dvořák is inconsistent, we follow **E**, **ES** or **EP**. But where the distinction between dots and dashes is clear and consistent, we follow **A**, even if not accepted by **E**, **ES** or **EP** (e.g. Clar. I, I, 194, 196).
- 7. Dvořák's not always consistent distinction between ^ and > in A has been maintained wherever possible. When the use of the two accents by Dvořák is inconsistent, we follow E, ES or EP. But where the distinction between ^ and > is consistent, we follow A, even if not accepted by E, ES or EP (e.g. Solo in I, 13).
- 8. In E and ES, fingerings have been set either above or below the corresponding note in the solo violin part. Since that happened mostly for reasons of space, our edition places them always above the solo violin part, as per modern convention.

In just one case (III, m. 423), where Joachim notated the fingering of only the lower voice in a double stop, we place the fingerings below the relevant notes in the solo violin part as given in E and **ES** in order to avoid confusion with the unfingered upper voice.

9 Dvořák did not number the three movements of the concerto (except for the indication *III.* for the finale in **A**, which was struck by the editor). Numbering is otherwise lacking in all sources. We add numbering nonetheless in square brackets for practical purposes.

- 10. Position and/or length of dynamic markings such as and are often unclear or inconsistent in A. The most significant ambiguities have been resolved in E, which we refer to as the most authoritative source. Specific annotations account for all cases in which we had to follow another source or restore the reading of A.
- 11. Markings missing in all sources but added by the editor are set in our score in square brackets.
- 12. In **A**, **E**, **ES**, the same numeral 0 is used to indicate both open strings and harmonics. In order to distinguish the two different types of performance indications, we use the numeral 0 for open strings and the curl ° for harmonics according to modern notation.
- 13. In **EP**, the piano reduction gives only one indication of the orchestral instrument (III, 285: "Pauken"). For practical purposes, where parts in the piano reduction are clearly associated with instruments that have an important solo, we have added indications clarifying the corresponding orchestral instruments wherever they can be inserted without causing confusion (using abbreviations from the full score, e.g. "Timp.").
- 14. In **EP**, tremolos and inner voices have been only partly and inconsistently slurred, often due to lack of space over and/or under the tremolo or inner voice. Since slurring here is evidently implied (that is, only concisely suggested in the key passages), we add slurs wherever they were clearly intended. The specific annotations document the few cases where the slurring may not have been intended.
- 15. Pedal indications are rare in **EP**, allowing great freedom in application of the pedal. We decided not to add any further pedal indications to those given in **EP** in order to maintain the flexibility of the source.
- 16. In **EP**, **Dot** and **\*** are sometimes set above the left hand stave due to lack of space. Since this does not imply any difference in meaning, we always place them under the left hand.

#### SPECIFIC ANNOTATIONS

Since **EP** is the only surviving source of Dvořák's piano reduction, we had to refer to it as our most authoritative source for the piano part. In order to achieve a piano part corresponding to the final version of the full score authorized by the composer, we had to align the piano part to **A**, **E**, and **EO**. The following specific annotations document each place where we adjust the piano part to align it with the definitive version in **A**, **E**, and **EO** according to our critical edition of the full score (BA 10422).

The solo violin line above the piano part corresponds to the solo violin part in our critical edition of the score, except that Joachim's fingerings and bowings are included in the piano reduction for purposes of documentation. For the solo violin part, **E** and **ES** have been considered as our most authoritative

sources. In the specific annotations for the solo violin part, as in the Critical Commentary to our edition of the full score, we therefore list only editorial decisions divergent from **E** and **ES**, specifying the source that served as the basis for these decisions wherever possible.

### [I] Allegro ma non troppo

[1] Alleg	ro ma non		
3	l.h.	In <b>EP</b> , slur from note 5 to note 7 missing	
		in m. 3, but present in m. 17 (from note	
		5 to note 1 in the next measure). We give	13
		the slur from note 5 on basis of m. 17 in	
		EP and until note 7 according to A, E,	
		EO (see also the annotation to mm. 3–4,	19
		17–18).	
3, 17	Pf.	• on note 1, 2 in <b>EP</b> from Viol. II, Vle.,	
		Vc., Cb. as given in <b>A</b> , m. 3, prior to revi-	
		sion (> present here only in Viol. I)	
		and from Fl., Fag., Strings as given	
		in <b>A</b> , m. 17, prior to revision (> present	
		here only in Ob. and Cor. I, II); in our	
		edition, ^ changed to > according to	
		the definitive version in A (all ^ struck	
		and replaced with > by Simrock's editor	
		with lead pencil, missing > in the other	
		instruments inserted by Simrock's editor	
	_	with lead pencil), E, EO.	19–20
3–4,	r.h.	In <b>EP</b> , slur from note 5 in m. 3 to note 1	
17–18		in m. 4 and slur from note 5 in m. 17 to	
		note 1 in m. 18 from Ob., Clar., Fag. as	
		given in <b>A</b> , mm. 3–4, prior to revision.	
		We follow the definitive version in <b>A</b> ,	
		mm. 3–4 (slur corrected in Ob., Clar.,	
		Fag. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil;	
		in all other instruments, slur as in our	• •
		edition), mm. 17–18 (slur by Dvořák in all	20
_	Dí	instruments as in our edition), E, EO.	
5	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , <i>fp</i> under note 1 in r.h. and under	
		note 2 in l.h. from Ob., Clar. II, Cor. I, II	20. 21
		as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision. We fol-	20–21
		low the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( <i>p</i> added	
		to $fp$ in Ob., Clar. II, Cor. I, II by Sim-	
		rock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/	
		Ob., Clar. II, Cor. I, II. The $fp$ from the	
		version in <b>A</b> prior to revision, however,	
		remains suitable for a piano part, so that	01
		it is still possible (though less probable),	21
		that Dvořák would have maintained the	
		<i>fp</i> here as in the first version if he had	
		devised his piano reduction after the last	
		revisions by Simrock's editors.	
		In EP, 22d under note 2 probably due to	
		lack of space; in our edition, 🕬 under	
		note 1 as given in the corresponding pas-	
6	Solo	sage in EP, m. 19.	22
6	Solo	Slur from the grace note to the main note	22
		in note 1 missing in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> . Our	
		text gives the slur as in E, Solo, m. 5, note 1.	

6

13

Pf.

Solo

Pf.

l.h.

*p* in EP from Fag. as given in A prior to revision. We follow the definitive version in A (*p* added to the *p* in Fag. and missing *pp* inserted in Ob. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Ob., Fag. *rit*. begins on note 11 in our edition according to A, ES (analogous to the last three descending notes of m. 27); in E it begins on note 9, in EP on note 10.
^ on note 12, 13 according to A, ES; in E, EP careless levelling of Dvořák's notation to >.
In EP, *fp* at note 2 from Ob., Clar., Fag. as given in A prior to revision. We follow the definitive version in A (*p* added

as given in **A** prior to revision. We follow the definitive version in **A** (*p* added to *fp* in Ob., Clar. II, Fag. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), **E**, **EO**/Ob., Clar., Fag. The *fp* from the version in **A** prior to revision, however, remains suitable for a piano part, so that it is still possible (though less probable), that Dvořák would have maintained the *fp* here as in the first version if he had devised his piano reduction after the last revisions by Simrock's editors.

Pf. **\*** in **EP** after note 2 in m. 19, in our edition after note 1 in m. 20 on basis of the same mark in m. 6. In **EP**, → from middle m. 19 until

middle m. 20 from the version before revision in **A**/Ob., Clar., Fag., erased by Dvořák in the definitive version in **A** and therefore not accepted in our edition as in **E**, **EO**/Ob., Clar., Fag.

missing in EP, given in our edition on basis of a later addition by Dvořák in A/Clar. I. and according to E, EO/Clar. I.

- 20-21Pf.In A, E, EO/Clar. tie from b in m. 20 to<br/>b in m. 21; in EP, no tie between b in l.h.<br/>in m. 20 and b in r.h. in m. 21. We follow<br/>EP and do not give the tie, since the<br/>separated notation of b in l.h. (m. 20) and<br/>in r.h. (m. 21) indicates an intentional<br/>separation of the two notes.21Pf.In EP, < over the entire bar from Ob.,
  - Clar., Fag. as given in A prior to revision; changed in our edition to <>>> on basis of the definitive version in A
    (→ added to <>> in Ob., Clar., Fag. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E/Ob., Clar., Fag. and EO/Ob. I, Clar., Fag. (EO/Ob. II has here only <>> from the version before revision in A/Ob. II.)
    Solo *dim.* in E directly under note 2; our edition follows A, ES and places *dim.* between note 2 and note 3.

22	Pf.	<ul> <li><i>p</i> missing in EP, given in our edition on basis of A, E, EO/Fl. I and EO/Fag. II. In EP, → over the entire bar from the version before revision in A/Fl. I, Ob. II, Fag., replaced in our edition with <i>dim.</i> as in the definitive version in A</li> <li>(→ erased by Dvořák in all instruments, <i>dim.</i> in Ob. written by Dvořák, <i>dim.</i> in Fl., Fag. inserted by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E/Fl. I, Ob. II,</li> </ul>	31	l.h.	by Dvořák with the additional indication under the stave: "Punkte über die Noten in Stimmen" ["dots over the notes in the voices"]), E, EO/Fag., Vc., Cb. > under note 1 missing in EP as in the version before revision in A/Fag. (in A/ Vc., Cb. f≈); given in our edition accord- ing to the definitive version in A/Fag. (> added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Fag. and on basis of EP, m. 29.
		Fag., EO/Ob. II, Fag. I (EO/Fl. I has here $>$ from the version before revi-	32	l.h.	* at bar end missing in EP, given in our edition on basis of EP, m. 30.
23	Pf.	sion in A/Fl. I; EO/Fag. II has here only $p$ ). In EP, $\rightarrow$ over the entire bar from Fl. I, Ob. II, Fag. as given in A prior to revi-	33, 34	l.h.	<ul> <li>• under note 1 in EP probably due to a careless levelling of l.h. to r.h., not ac- cepted in our edition on basis of A, E, EO/Fag., Cor., Trba., Vle., Vc., Cb.</li> </ul>
		sion, not accepted in our edition on basis of the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( $>$ over Fag. erased by Dvořák, $>$ over Ob. II and <i>dimin</i> over Fl. I struck by Simrock's	34	r.h.	Staccato dot on note 5 missing in <b>EP</b> , given in our edition according to <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fl., Ob., Clar., Viol. I, II. and on basis of the same passage in <b>EP</b> , m. 33.
		editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> /Fl. I, Ob. II, Fag. and <b>EO</b> /Ob. II, Fag. ( <b>EO</b> /Fl. I has here <i>dim.</i> from Fl. I as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision).	35–6	r.h.	In EP, $>$ instead of $f_{z}$ from the version before revision in A/Vle., changed to $f_{z}$ in our edition according to the definitive version in A, E, EO/Vle. It is, however,
25	Solo	<i>cresc.</i> in <b>E</b> , <b>EP</b> from note 3, in <b>ES</b> from note 5, in our edition from note 4 as given in <b>A</b> , assuming an intentional correspondence between the beginning	41	Pf.	possible that Dvořák intended here a simplification of the piano part, levelling the $f$ to > in all voices. >>>> begins in EP after the last beat due
		of the crescendo and the beginning of the ascending line of the figuration by Dvořák.			to lack of space. We follow <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Viol. I, II.
29	Pf.	<ul> <li>&gt; on note 1 missing in EP as in the version before revision in A/Fl., Clar., Vc.,</li> <li>Cb. (in A/Ob., Viol. I &gt; , in A/Fag. ^); in our edition, we give it on basis of the definitive version in A/Fl., Ob., Clar., Fag.,</li> <li>Viol. I (&gt; added in Fl., Clar. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, in Fag. ^ struck</li> </ul>	45	l.h.	In EP: $2$ due to a careless levelling to the rhythm in m. 44 instead of to the rhythm of the corresponding passage in m. 43. We follow the defini- tive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vle., Vc., Cb. on basis of the identical measure in <b>EP</b> , m. 43.
		and replaced with > by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E (> printed also in Vc., Cb.), EO (> printed also in Vc., Cb.).	47–8	Pf.	missing in EP, probably due to lack of space; given in our edition on basis of A, E/Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc. and EO/Viol. I, Vc. (EO/Viol. II, Vle. has here <i>dim.</i> due
30–32	r.h.	> on note 1 missing in EP as in the version before revision in A/Fl., Clar.; in our edition, we add it on basis of the definitive version in A (> added in Fl., Clar. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, in Ob., m. 30, ^ struck and replaced with > by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Fl., Ob., Clar., Fag., Viol. I.			to lack of space). In A/Fl. I, Fag. I, which corresponds to the upper voice in the piano part, Dvořák did not give any > so it is possible that he had decided here to simplify the orchestral part in the piano reduction and omit the $>$ in all voices of the piano part. But since in m. 49 of the piano part he then gives <i>pp</i> ,
30, 32	l.h.	<ul> <li>^ in EP on all notes from Fag. as given in A prior to revision; in our edition,</li> <li>^ replaced with staccato dots on all notes according to the definitive version in A (^ in Fag. struck and replaced with stac-</li> </ul>			as in $A$ /Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc. (though not in Fl. I and Fag. I), we follow the same or- chestral parts ( $A$ /Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc.) also for mm. 47–8 for the sake of consistency and add the $>$ .
		cato Punkte by Dvořák, $>$ in Vc., Cb. erased and replaced with staccato dots	51	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , <i>dimin</i> . under note 2 (second qua- ver), but evidently intended by Dvořák

51–4	r.h.	under note 1 for all voices as in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Strings. Slur in the lower voice missing in <b>EP</b> , but implied through the slur in l.h.; therefore			m. 63, Dvořák and Simrock's editor (with lead pencil) clearly indicated a continu- ation of the <b>pp</b> until the beginning of m. 63 in all Woodwinds, and Dvořák
55–6	r.h.	given in our edition on basis of l.h. In <b>EP</b> , two slurs over note 2–4 in m. 55 and over the entire bar in m. 56 from Viol. I, Fag. as given in <b>A</b> prior to revi- sion (still decipherable under the defini- tive slur in Viol. I and especially in Fag., where the slur in m. 55 has been ex-			added a $\checkmark$ in Fl. I, Ob., I, Viol. I, II in m. 63 to replace the <i>cresc</i> . in Ob. I. In our edition, we do not accept the <i>cresc</i> . in m. 62 and substitute it with $\checkmark$ in m. 63 as in the definitive version of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> / Fl. I, Ob. I, Clar., Fag., Cor. III, IV, Timp., Viol. I, II, Vle. Vc.
		tended to the end of m. 56 by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), in our edition one slur from note 2 in m. 55 until bar end in m. 56 according to the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Clar., Fag., Viol. I, Vc. and on basis of <b>EP</b> , mm. 60–61.	63	Solo	$\checkmark$ in <b>A</b> from note 3 to note 10 not accepted in <b>E</b> , <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> , probably careless levelling by the printer on basis of m. 58 and the following $\checkmark$ in m. 64. But Dvořák seems here to intend a double increase in dynamics with each new ap-
55, 60	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , note 1 $n^{\gamma}$ from the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Viol. I, II, Vc. (clearly deci- pherable under the $i$ in m. 60), in our edition crotchet according to the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fl. I, Ob. I, Strings.			pearance of the passage: m. 58 without $\leq$ , m. 63 with $\leq$ , m. 68 with <i>cresc</i> . Slur from note 3 to note 5 and from note 8 to note 10 missing in <b>A</b> . Our edition follows <b>E</b> , <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> , also on basis of
58	Solo	<ul> <li>ver the trill is missing in all sources</li> <li>(A, E, ES, EP) and has been added to avoid misunderstandings.</li> </ul>	64	Pf.	mm. 58 and 68. In <b>EP</b> , $f \leq$ from Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc. in <b>A</b> prior to revision (erased $\leq$ still
58	Pf.	<i>cresc.</i> in <b>EP</b> from Clar. I, Viol. I, Vc. as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision (erased by Dvořák or cancelled by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), replaced in our edition with $\leq$ according to the definitive ver-			decipherable under Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc.; z in Viol. I added to $f$ by Simrock's editor with lead pencil). We follow the defini- tive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc.: $fz$ without $\leq$ .
		sion in <b>A</b> ( in Clar. I inserted by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> /Fl. I, Clar. I, Viol. I, II and <b>EO</b> /Fl. I, Viol. I, II ( <b>EO</b> /Clar. I has here <i>cresc</i> . from Clar. I as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision).	65–6	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , the slur covers only twelve of the sixteen semiquavers in l.h. due to lack of space. We extend the slur in both measures over the entire bar. In <b>EP</b> , <i>poco a poco cresc</i> . (from <b>A</b> /Cor. III,
58, 63, 68		<sup>7</sup> on note 2 missing in <b>EP</b> but evidently implied through the stem direction.			IV) begins in the middle of the bar; we set it directly after $p$ on basis of <i>cresc.</i> in
59	Pf.	<b>f</b> on note 1 in <b>EP</b> from Clar. I, Viol. I, II, Vc. as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision;			A, E, EO/Strings as evidently intended by Dvořák.
		completed in our edition to <b>f</b> <sup>z</sup> as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( <i>z</i> added in Clar. I, Viol. I to <b>f</b> by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, E <b>O</b> /Clar. I, Viol. I, II, Vc.	66	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $\leq$ under l.h. and until beat 3 due to lack of space; in our edition, $\leq$ set between the staves and extended to end of bar as in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Clar., Fag.
		In r.h., staccato dot on note 2 missing in <b>EP</b> , given in our edition according to the definitive version of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II.	66	r.h.	Staccato dots on note 5, 6 missing in <b>EP</b> , either from <b>A</b> prior to revision (erased version without staccato dots in Vle. decipherable under the definitive ver-
60	Pf.	<b>p</b> on note 2 in <b>EP</b> changed to <b>pp</b> in our edition according to of the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I, Vle., Vc. and on basis of the corresponding passage in <b>EP</b> , m. 55.			sion, other staccato dots in Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Viol. I, II, Vc. added with thin- ner ink possibly at another time) or due to a careless levelling with the similar passage in <b>EP</b> , m. 56; we give the staccato
62–3	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , <i>cresc</i> . from the middle of the bar in m. 62, probably from the rejected <i>cresc</i> . in <b>A</b> /Ob., m. 63 (then substituted by $\checkmark$			dots in our edition on basis of the defini- tive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc.
		in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob.). In the definitive version in <b>A</b> /Clar., Fag., m. 62, and <b>A</b> /Fl. I, Ob. I,	68	Solo	<i>cresc.</i> missing in <b>E</b> , <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> . Our edition follows <b>A</b> and adds <i>cresc.</i> also on basis of

		the other instruments. See also annota-
		tion to I, 63, Solo.
68–9	Pf.	In EP, slur in r.h. and cresc. missing,
		$<\!\!<$ beginning at the last quaver of
		the bar. In <b>A</b> /Fl., Ob. I, slur added by
		Dvořák in thinner ink and completed
		by Simrock's editor with lead pencil; we
		follow the definitive version in A, E, EO/
		Fl., Ob. I for the slur. In A/Timp., cresc.
		added by Dvořák with thinner ink; in <b>A</b> /
		Viol. II, cresc. added by Simrock's edi-
		tor with lead pencil. For the cresc., we
		follow the definitive version in A, E/Viol.
		I, II, Timp., EO/Viol. I, II (in EO/Timp.,
		<i>cresc.</i> and $<\!\!<$ levelled to $<\!\!<$ over both
		measures). In A/Cor. III, IV, Viol. II, 🦟
		added by Simrock's editor with lead pen-
		cil; we follow the definitive version
		in A, E, EO/Cor. III, IV, Viol. I, II for
		<pre>cover m. 69.</pre>
69	Solo	# over <i>tr</i> on note 2 missing in all sources,
		but implied harmonically after $c$ in
		Viol. I, II, note 1 and before <i>c</i> # in Solo
		note 3. We did not, however, add any ac-
		cidental over the <i>t</i> <sup><i>r</i></sup> in note 1, since here
		both $\flat$ or $\flat$ are harmonically possible, and we prefer to allow the ambiguity of
		the sources to remain.
69	r.h.	In <b>EP</b> , dashes missing on the last three
07	1.11.	crotchets as in A/Fl., Ob., Clar., Cor. I, II,
		Vle., Vc. prior to revision and dots miss-
		ing on the last four quavers due to lack
		of space. In our edition, dashes filled on
		basis of the definitive version in <b>A</b> (dash-
		es added in Fl. by Dvořák with thinner
		ink and in Ob., Clar., Cor. I, II, Vle., Vc.
		by Simrock's editor), E, EO/Fl., Ob., Clar.,
		Cor. I, II, Vle., Vc., and dots given as in
		A, E, EO/Viol. I, II and on basis of the
		first four quavers in EP.
70–72	Pf.	In EP, slur from note 2 in m. 70 (72) to
		note 1 in m. 71 (73) following the ties in
		the wind instruments in $\mathbf{A}$ (in $\mathbf{A}$ /Strings
		slur until bar end in mm. 70 and 72).
71, 73,	Solo	A, ES, EP: unclear or missing <i>C</i> . Our
75		edition follows E except for m. 75, where
		begins in E from note 4 instead of
		note 2 (due to lack of space under note 2
	0.1	with numeral 0 for open string).
77	Solo	In <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> slur over the crotchet
		triplet, probably intended by Dvořák not
		as a real slur, but as grouping mark for
		the triplet. We express the slur as a hori-
		zontal square bracket to avoid misunder-
78 0	l.h.	standings. Slur in the lower voice missing in <b>FP</b>
78–9	1.N.	Slur in the lower voice missing in <b>EP</b> ,
		given in our edition according to $\mathbf{A}_{,}$

E, EO/Vle., Vc. and on basis of EP, mm. 80-85. r.h. In **EP**, additional tie to bb', not accepted since redundant and on basis of EP, mm. 82-5. Beginning of *consistent* in the Solo sources: in A, E from note 2, in ES, EP from note 1 (in **EP** mistakenly <<> to m. 88 and cresc. in m. 89). Our edition follows A, E. Solo In A, thick spot under note 4, interpreted in our edition as staccato dot as in E, EP. In ES, no staccato dot on note 4. Pf. In EP, ^ on note 1, 2 from Viol. II in A prior to revision ( ^ cancelled and replaced with > by Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, > as in the definitive version in A, E, EO/Strings. l.h. Staccato dots on note 1-4 missing in EP evidently by oversight, since all other quavers in mm. 92-4 have staccato dots. Therefore, we give the staccato dots in our edition on basis of the same figuration in EP, mm. 92-4. Pf. In  $EP_{,} >>$  from end of m. 93 to beginning of m. 94 from Ob. as given in A prior to revision (>>> cancelled in Ob. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil). We replace >> with *dim*. after p as in the definitive version in A, E, EO/Ob., Clar., Fag., Viol. II, Vle., Vc. (only in A, E, EO/ Cb. different part with >>). Pf. In  $EP_{\prime} >$  on all notes as in **A** prior to revision (in Viol. I, Vle., m. 101: > on note 3-5 struck and replaced with staccato dots by Simrock's editor with lead pencil; in Cb. first accent ^ in m. 100 struck by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, first accent in m. 101 replaced with staccato dots by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, and accent > in the version erased by Dvořák still decipherable beneath the definitive version). We follow the definitive version in A, E, EO/Viol. I, Vle., Vc., Cb.:

81

87

89

91

93

93-4

100 - 01

101

Solo

version in **A**, **E**, **EO**/Viol. I, Vle., Vc., Cb.: no accent on note 1 in m. 100 (redundant over  $f^{(z)}$ ), staccato on note 1 in m. 101 and staccato instead of accent on note 3–5 in mm. 100–1.

We also insert f under note 2 in m. 101 (missing in **EP**) on basis of the definitive version in **A** (f in Viol. I added later by Dvořák with darker ink) **E**, **EO**/Viol. I, Vle. It is, however, possible that Dvořák did not set f in **EP** following Vc., Cb. > on note 1 missing in **A**, but present in

E, ES, EP. Note 13–16 in A:

We follow the definitive version in **E**, **ES**, **EP**.

Pf. In EP, > on all notes according to Viol. I, Vle., Vc. Cb in A prior to revision. We follow the definitive version in A (most accents struck and replaced with dashes by Dvořák with ink, some added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/ Viol. I, Vle., Vc. Cb.: dashes instead of accents.

102

of crotchets), since evidently chosen by

Pf.

110 Pf.

109

112–13 r.h.

l.h.

r.h.

1.h.

Pf.

113

115

115

117

other strings. Last four semiquavers in EP:

Dvořák to express the sounds of percus-

sion in Timp. and pizzicato in Vc. and

Cb on the piano. However, we add the

(expressing percussion/pizzicato on the

in EP over the first half of the meas-

ure due to lack of space in the second

second half as given in A, E, EO/Clar.

half of the measure. We set it over the entire bar as given in A, E/Clar. and EO/Clar. II (in EO/Clar. I  $\gg$  in the first

In **EP**, one slur over both measures as

Slur over the tremolo missing in **EP**, given in our edition on basis of m. 112 (see annotation above) and of **A**, **E**, **EO**/

*dimin.* under note 1 in **EP** not accepted on basis of the definitive print version in **E**, **EO**/Cb., where the  $\implies$  in **A**/Cb. between m. 112 and 113 has not been taken over after the *fp* in m. 112 on basis of all

given in **A**/Vle. prior to revision. In our edition, we follow the definitive version in **A** (slur over the two bars in Vle. divided into a separate slur for each bar by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), **E**, **EO**/Viol. I, II, Vle. also on basis of **EP**, l.h.,

half of the measure).

m. 112.

Vle

>>> in **EP** over the first half of the measure due to lack of space in the second

half of the measure. We set it in the

missing staccato dots in mm. 109-10

piano) on basis of mm. 107-08.

In our edition, we follow **A**, **E**, **EO**/Viol. II. on basis of the same passage in **EP**, m. 116. It is, however, still possible that Dvořák in fact wanted a variant harmonically different from the full score version here (though he did not change the harmony in the same passage in **EP**, m. 116).

Slur missing in **EP**, given in our edition on basis of **EP**, m. 116.

In EP,  $\checkmark$  from note 3 to note 4 and fzplus  $\land$  at note 5 of r.h., evidently from Strings in **A** prior to revision (*z* of fzunder *p* of the definitive *fp* as well as  $\land$  over or under note 5 from **A** prior to revision still decipherable in Viol. II, Vle.;  $\backsim$  from note 3 to note 4 however no longer decipherable in **A** and possibly added on basis of the *crescendo* in mm. 120–22). In our edition, we follow

118–22	Pf.	the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Strings: no $\leq$ and <i>fp</i> instead of <i>fz</i> and $\wedge$ . In <b>EP</b> , <i>fp</i> at note 1, $\leq$ from note 3 to note 4, <i>fz</i> and $\wedge$ at note 5 of r.h. in each bar, evidently from the version prior to revision erased under the definitive
119–20	Pf.	version in <b>A</b> /Strings ( $\boldsymbol{x}$ of $\boldsymbol{f}\boldsymbol{x}$ under $\boldsymbol{p}$ of the definitive $\boldsymbol{f}\boldsymbol{p}$ as well as $\wedge$ over or under note 5 still decipherable in Viol. II, Vle.; $\boldsymbol{f}\boldsymbol{p}$ at note 1 and $\sim$ from note 3 to note 4 however no longer decipher- able in the source and possibly added on basis of the $\boldsymbol{f}\boldsymbol{x}$ in Solo and the <i>crescendo</i> in mm. 120–22). In our edition, we follow the dynamic markings of the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Strings. In <b>EP</b> , different harmonisation of the sequence towards G major (instead of remaining in E minor as in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> ). Since there is no trace of this harmonisa-
		tion in <b>A</b> , the turn to G major evidently does not derive from a possible ver- sion of <b>A</b> prior to revision, but must be considered a deliberate change by the composer in the piano reduction. In our edition, we therefore leave Dvořák's original harmonisation as in <b>EP</b> . A possi- ble alternative which corresponds to the orchestral part in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> would have
		been as follows:
120–22	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , <i>cre-scen-do</i> missing, given in our edition according to the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( <i>crescendo</i> in m. 120 extended to a <i>cre-scen-do</i> over mm. 120–22 by Simrock's editor with lead pencil) <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> / Strings.
123	Solo	Slur from note 2 to note 3 missing in <b>A</b> . Here, our edition follows <b>E</b> , <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> (slur possibly added by Joachim in the engrav- er's copy for the solo violin part).
123	l.h.	<i>mf</i> under note 2 missing in <b>EP</b> as in

123 l.h. *mf* under note 2 missing in EP as in A/Vle., Vc., Cb. prior to revision (added in A by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), given in our edition on basis of the definitive version in A, E, EO/Vle., Vc., Cb.
126–7 Pf. In EP, ≪ from note 5 (r.h.) in m. 126 until end m. 127 from Fag. as given in A prior to revision (erased ≪ in Fag., m. 127). We replace ≪ with *cresc*. from note 3 in m. 126 as in the definitive version in A, E, EO/Strings.

The crotchets in l.h. are marked in **A** either with > (Fag., Cor.) or with dashes (Vle., Vc., Cb.), but we leave them without accents as given in **EP**, since Dvořák evidently took no decision here about their marking.

Pf. In **EP**, f at note 1 and f<sup>*z*</sup> instead of fp at note 2. While the f<sup>*z*</sup> at note 2 is evidently derived from Fag., Cor., Strings as given in **A** prior to revision (*z* under *p* still decipherable in most voices), the *f* has been added initially in **EP**. Therefore, we follow for the *fp* the definitive version in **A**, **E**, **EO**/Fag., Cor., Strings, but retain the *f* on note 1 as given in **EP**. Pf. In **EP**, *pp* at note 1, in our edition *p* in r.h. and *pp* in l.h. in order to differentiate the dynamic between main voice (Ob.) and accompaniment (Viol. II, Vle.) according

128

129

138 - 41

139-40

142-3

1.h.

Solo

Pf.

to A, E, EO/Ob. I, Viol. II, Vle.
129–33 l.h. In EP, one slur over the semibreves from m. 129 to m. 133 from Vle. as given in A prior to revision. In our edition, we follow the definitive version in A (slur divided into two by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Viol. II, Vle. also on basis of mm. 134–7.
131 Solo ✓ from note 3 to note 4 missing in E.

from note 3 to note 4 missing in **E**, **ES**, **EP**, the printer probably overlooked the small  $\sim$  at the end of the bar over the *diminuendo* in **A**. Our edition adds  $\sim$  from note 3 to note 4 as in **A** and on basis of m. 133.

In EP, *p* under note 2 in mm. 138–9 and *pp* under note 2 in mm. 140–41 missing; given in our edition on basis of A, E, EO/ Fl. I, Ob. I, Clar. I.

*sempre più piano* in **EP** abbreviated in our edition as given in **A**, **E**, **EO**/Strings (abbreviation probably expanded in **EP** by the editor after the *p*: in **A**/Viol. I, Cb., but Simrock's editor has inserted in **A**/Vle. the *sempre più p* of the definitive version in **E**, **EO**).

from note 2 in m. 139 to note 5 in m. 140 according to A, ES. In E, EP missing (probably judged as redundant after *cresc.*).

Slur from note 1 in m. 142 to note 1 in m. 143 in the lower voice in r.h. and from note 1 in m. 142 to note 1 in m. 143 in the lower voice in l.h. missing in EP as in A/Vle., Cb. prior to revision; added in our edition on basis of the definitive version in A/Vle., Cb. (slur added in both cases by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Vle., Cb.

148	Solo	> from note 2 according to <b>A</b> , <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> . In <b>E</b> , $>$ from note 3 due to lack of an according to the second s
149, 150	r.h.	space after <i>dolce</i> . In <b>EP</b> , staccato dot on the last quaver, not accepted in our edition on basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. I and on basis of the similar melodic line in mm. 151–4. However, staccato dot present in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. II, so that a staccato dot on the quaver in m. 149, where the melodic line is taken from Viol. II, is also possible.
151	Solo	to note 2 according to A, EP. In E, stops just before note 2; in ES is extended to note 3.
151	r.h.	in EP over the entire bar from Vc., Cb. as given in A prior to revision (erased by Dvořák in Vc., struck by Simrock's editor with lead pencil in Cb.), therefore not accepted on basis of the definitive version in A, E, EO/Vc., Cb.
152–4	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , <i>poco cre-scen-do</i> from note 2 in m. 152 until the second half of m. 154 as simplification of the two $\checkmark$ between m. 151 and m. 154 given in A/Vc., Cb. prior to revision (erased by Dvořák in Vc., struck by Simrock's editor with lead pencil in Cb.), therefore not accepted in our edition as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vc., Cb.
154	Solo	Staccato dot on note 8 missing in E, added
158	Pf.	in our edition according to A, ES, EP. in EP from beat 3 as in A/Ob. I probably due to lack of space before the ; in our edition from beat 2 as in A, E, EO/Strings (beginning of $\leq$ from beat 2 clearly marked in A/Viol. II by Simrock's editor). It is, however, possible that Dvořák wanted the $\leq$ to begin here as in A/Ob.
159	Pf.	$\checkmark$ from beat 2 in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. and cresc. from the middle of the bar in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. II, Vc., Cb. simplified in <b>EP</b> to a prolongation of the $\checkmark$ in m. 158. In our edition, we follow the simplification in <b>EP</b> since evidently intended for the piano adaptation.
160	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $f$ at note 1 from Ob. as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision, in our edition <i>mf</i> as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (in Ob. <i>m</i> added to $f$ by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob.
161	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $\geq$ over the bar from Ob. as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision, in our edi- tion <i>dim.</i> from note 1 instead of $\geq$ as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (in Ob. $\geq$ erased and replaced with <i>dim.</i> by Dvořák), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Viol. II, Vc., Cb.

162, 163	Solo	begins in A in mm. 162 and 163 on the second semiquaver. We follow E, ES, EP.
162–4	Pf.	$\leq$ missing in EP, given in our edition on basis of the definitive version in A ( $\leq$ added in Clar. by Dvořák in thinner ink), E, EO/Clar.
162–8	l.h.	In EIR, the staccato notes in the accompaniment do not always correspond to the pizzicato in <b>A</b> /Vc., Viol. II, but are justified by similar notes in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fl., Ob. I, Fag. II, Cor., Viol. I, Vle., Cb.
165	Pf.	<i>cresc.</i> begins in <b>EP</b> at the fourth quaver due to lack of space, in our edition at the seventh quaver as in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> /Ob. I, Clar., Fag. II, Viol. I, Vle., Cb. and <b>EO</b> /Clar. I, Viol. I, Vle. (in <b>EO</b> /Ob. I $\checkmark$ from end bar 165, in <b>EO</b> /Clar. II, Fag. II, Cb. from m. 166).
167	Solo	• over mordent missing in E, added ac- cording to A, ES, EP.
168	Pf.	✓ in <b>EP</b> from middle of the bar in m. 166 to bar end in m. 168, probably unifying the two ✓ in <b>A</b> /Cor. III, IV in mm. 166–7 and <b>A</b> /Cor. I, II in m. 168 (but in the second half of m. 167 there is no $\checkmark$ in Cor. I, II); in our edition, we set the $\checkmark$ only in m. 168 according to <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Cor. I, II and parallel to the solo violin, since the first $\checkmark$ in mm. 166–7 is already expressed by the previous <i>cresc</i> .
170	Pf.	begins in EP between note 1 and note 2, in our edition from note 2 as in the definitive version in A ( added over Fag. I slightly before note 2 by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/ Fag. I.
171	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , <i>pp</i> at note 1 for both r.h. and l.h.; in our edition <i>p</i> for r.h. and <i>pp</i> for l.h. in order to maintain the differentiation between melody in Cor. I, II ( <i>p</i> ) and bass accompaniment in Vc., Cb. ( <i>pp</i> ) in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> in the same way as given in the two previous measures in <b>EP</b> (see the same differentiation between <i>p</i> for r.h. and <i>pp</i> for l.h. in <b>EP</b> , m. 169).
172	r.h.	In <b>EP</b> , the orchestral part from <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b>

175

Pf.

has been slightly simplified in order to make the reduction more suitable for the piano. We follow EP, since Dvořák seems here to intentionally simplify the orchestral part. A direct reduction of the orchestral part as given in A, E, EO would

In EP, p at note 1, no >> after p, no ppunder l.h. and > over note 2 in r.h. as

		given in <b>A</b> /Ob. I, Vc., Cb. prior to revision. We follow the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> : <i>fp</i> instead of <i>p</i> and $>>$ over the entire bar for r.h. as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> /Ob. I ( <i>fp</i> and $>>$ added by Dvořák in thinner ink), <i>pp</i> for l.h. to maintain the differentiation between melody and bass accompaniment as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> /Vle., Vc., Cb. ( <i>pp</i> added in Vc., Cb. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil) and on basis of in <b>EP</b> , m. 169 (see annotation to m. 171), no > on note 2 r.h. according to <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. I and on basis of mm. 176–8, 180 (the only > in <b>A</b> /Ob. I, m. 175 not accepted in <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> since not consistent with the same passages in the other wind	189 189, 191	Pf. r.h.	then note 1–4 in m. 187 and note 1–2 in m. 188 as quaver with staccato dots for the pizzicato-quavers in $A/Vc.$ , Cb. We follow the particular notation in EP as intentional notation of the different piz- zicatos in $A$ , $E$ , EO/Vc., Cb. for the piano. In EP, $pp$ at note 1 for both r.h. and 1.h., in our edition at note 1 $p$ for r.h. and $pp$ for 1.h. to maintain the differentiation be- tween melody and bass accompaniment as given in EP, m. 169 according to the definitive version of $A$ , $E$ , EO/FI. I, Cor. (see annotation to m. 171). In $A$ , clearly dashes on note 1, 2 in m. 189 and note 1, 3 in m. 191 (upper voice); careless levelling to dots in E, EO/ Fl. I and EP. We follow $A$ and restore the
		instruments in mm. 176–8, 180).			dashes.
176–8, 180	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , first minim accentuated with > in r.h. and missing $f_p \gg$ in r.h. from Ob. I, Cor. I in <b>A</b> prior to revision. In our edition, we follow the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( $f_p \gg$ in Ob. I and Cor. I, m. 177–8 added by Dvořák in thinner ink, $f_z$ in Cor. I, m. 176 corrected to $f_p$ by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, $f_p \gg$ in Cor. I, m. 180 added by Simrock's edi- tor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. I, Cor. I: $f_p$ instead of > and $\gg$ over the entire bar in r.h.	189–93, 195	r.h.	In <b>EP</b> , inconsistent slurring of the 4-semiquaver-figurations and <i>t</i> <sup><i>n</i></sup> -groups, which are mostly slurred to the fol- lowing quaver or crotchet in staccato, probably due to the unclear slurring in <b>A</b> and on basis of the version of the 4-semiquaver-figurations in <b>A</b> , mm. 3–4, 17–18, prior to revision (see correspond- ing annotation). In our edition, we follow for all these figurations <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fl. I, Ob. I, Viol. I and m. 193 in <b>EP</b> : slur only until the last note of each figuration, as
183	r.h.	p on note 1 in the upper voice missing in EP, given in our edition on basis of A, E, EO/Clar. I to maintain the differentiation between melody and bass accompaniment as given in EP, m. 169 (see annotation to m. 171).	191	Pf.	evidently intended by Dvořák in <b>A</b> . $\leq$ inconsistent in the sources. In E/Clar., $\leq$ begins after $p$ at note 1 and ends before note 5, $\geq$ from note 6 to note 8; in EO/Clar., $\leq$ begins after $p$ at note 1 and ends before note 6, $\geq$
183–4	r.h.	Staccato dots on the quavers in the upper voice of r.h. missing in <b>EP</b> as in <b>A</b> /Clar. I prior to revision; added in our edition as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots in Clar. I added on the first quaver by Simrock's editor with lead pencil and in the other quavers by Dvořák in thin- ner ink), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Clar. I.			begins after note 6 and ends at the end of bar; in A/Clar., $\checkmark$ begins after $p$ at note 1 and ends under note 6-7, $>$ be- gins under note 7 and ends over the bar line; in EP, $\checkmark$ begins under note 2 and ends under note 4, $>$ begins at note 5 and ends before note 8. We follow A, but let the $>$ end before the bar line
185	Pf.	<i>p</i> and <i>pp</i> missing in <b>EP</b> as in <b>A</b> /Cor. III, IV, Viol. I, II, Vle. prior to revision, added in our edition on basis of the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( <i>p</i> added in Cor. III, IV by Dvořák in thicker ink, <i>pp</i> added in Viol. I, II, Vle. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Cor. III, IV, Viol. I, II, Vle.	192	Pf.	as evidently intended by Dvořák in A/Clar. In EP, <i>pp</i> at note 1, not accepted in our edition to maintain the differentiation between melody and bass accompaniment as given in EP, m. 169 according to the definitive version of A, E, EO/Ob. I, Cor., Vc. (see corresponding annotation
185–8	l.h.	In <b>EP</b> , particular notation for the pia- no reduction of the pizzicato in <b>A</b> /Vc., Cb.: note 2, 3 in m. 185 and note 1, 2 in m. 186 as quaver without staccato dots for the pizzicato-crotchets in <b>A</b> /Vc., Cb.,	192	r.h.	to m. 171). In <b>A</b> /Fl. I (corresponding to the upper voice in <b>EP</b> ) no staccato on note 1; in <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fl. I and <b>EP</b> (upper voice) careless levelling to staccato as in mm. 189, 191.

		Our edition restores <b>A</b> (no staccato) also on basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. I in m. 194. In <b>A</b> /Ob. I (corresponding to the lower voice in <b>EP</b> ) clearly dashes on note 1, 2; careless levelling to dots in <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. I and <b>EP</b> (lower voice). We follow <b>A</b> /Ob. I and restore the dashes.	205	r.h.	prolonged to a whole note in the middle voice in r.h. and in the upper voice in l.h. We follow <b>EP</b> , since evidently deliberate prolongation of the notes in the piano reduction. Dash on note 1 (upper voice) and staccato dot under note 1 (lower voice) missing in
192	l.h.	* missing in EP, given in our edition on basis of m. 189.			<b>EP</b> , probably due to a careless levelling by the editor; given in our edition ac-
194	Pf.	In EP, $\checkmark$ as in m. 191, probably careless levelling on basis of m. 191; not accepted in our edition since neither in A nor in E nor in EO/Fl., Clar., Cor.	206	Pf.	cording to <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Fag. (dash) and <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I, II (staccato dot). In <b>EP</b> , first <i>fp</i> and all staccato dots on the quavers missing, <i>f</i> <sup>*</sup> instead of the second
194	r.h.	In <b>A</b> /Clar. I clearly dashes on note 1, 3 (upper voice); careless levelling to dots in <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Clar. I and <b>EP</b> . We follow <b>A</b> /Clar. I and restore the dashes.			$f_p$ and no $\leftarrow$ for the lower voice in r.h. as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision. We add the staccato dots as given in the same passage in <b>EP</b> , m. 205 and according to
195	Pf.	pp missing in <b>EP</b> as given in <b>A</b> /Viol. I, II prior to revision, added in our edition on basis of the definitive version in <b>A</b> (in Viol. I $p$ added to $p$ by Dvořák in darker ink, in Viol. II $pp$ added by Dvořák in thinner ink), E/Viol. I, II. and EO/Viol. II (in EO/Viol. I $pp$ missing).			the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots in Ob. added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Fag. I. For the other dynamic marks, we follow the de- finitive version in <b>A</b> (missing $fp$ at note 1 added in Clar. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil; in the other voices $p$ added
196	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $p$ missing and <i>cresc</i> . instead of after note 1, we follow the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Clar. I. In <b>EP</b> , the scale in parallel thirds in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. has been transposed in the middle and lower voice in r.h. one sixth higher in order to make it playable for the piano. We follow <b>EP</b> since the transposition is evidently an intentional	206–07	Pf.	to <b>f</b> or written over <i>s</i> by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, $\checkmark$ in Ob. added later by Dvořák) E, EO/Fl., Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor., Viol. I, II, Vc., Cb. Dash on note 2 (upper voice in l.h.) in m. 206 and on note 3, 5 in m. 207 (lower voice in r.h.), staccato dots on note 2, 4 in m. 207 (lower voice in r.h.) missing in EP as in the version before revision in <b>A</b> /
197	Pf.	change for the piano part. In EP, $p$ at note 2; in our edition, $pp$ for the tremolo on basis of the definitive version in A (second $p$ added possibly later by Dvořák in A/Viol. II, Vle.), E, EO/Viol. II, Vle.			<ul> <li>Viol. I, II (here only in Viol. I one dash on note 2 in m. 206 and one staccato dot on note 1 in m. 207); given in our edition according to the definitive version in</li> <li>A (dashes and staccato dots added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/</li> </ul>
199	Pf.	<i>sempre</i> missing in <b>EP</b> from <b>A</b> /Cb. prior to revision, given in our edition as in the definitive version of <b>A</b> (in Cb. <i>sempre</i> added to <i>pp</i> by Simrock's editor with lead pencil; in Viol. II, Vle., Vc. <i>sempre pp</i> added by Dvořák and then changed to the definitive <i>pp sempre</i> by Simrock's edi-	208-09	r.h.	Viol. I, II. In <b>EP</b> , staccato dots at note 2, 4 missing as in the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Fl., Clar., Fag.; given in our edition according to the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots mostly added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fl., Clar., Fag.
203–04	r.h.	tor with lead pencil), E, EO/Viol. II, Vle., Vc., Cb. In A/Viol. I, II, Ob., Fag. clearly dashes on note 1 and note 3, careless levelling to dots in E and EP, but dashes in EO/Ob.,	210	l.h.	^ on note 4 in EP from the version in A/Viol. I prior to revision (^ struck by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), not accepted in our edition on basis of the definitive version A, E, EO/Viol. I.
204	Pf.	Fag., Viol. I, II. We follow <b>A</b> and <b>EO</b> /Viol. I, II, Ob., Fag. and restore the dashes. $\checkmark$ missing in <b>EP</b> , given in our edition as in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Fag. and on basis of the similar passage in <b>EP</b> , m. 207. In <b>EP</b> , crotchet from <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I, II	212	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $f$ at note 1, probably derived from the combination of $f$ and $^$ in Fag. in the version in <b>A</b> prior to revision; in our edition $f$ as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( $^$ in Fag. struck by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, missing $f$ in Cor. I, II,

		Viol. I, Vle. added by Simrock's editor
		with lead pencil), E, EO/Tutti.
212–14	Pf.	In EP, no staccato dots, in A staccato dots
		missing in Fl., Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor., Viol.
		I, II, Vle., Vc., Cb. in m. 212 (only Trba.
		with staccato here), but staccato dots in
		all instruments in mm. 213–14 (probably
		added later by Dvořák for the definitive
		-
		version, since the dots are quite distant
		from the notes). In our edition, staccato
		dots in all measures according to the
		definitive version in E, EO/Fl., Ob., Clar.,
		Fag., Cor., Trba., Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc., Cb.
		and on basis of <b>A</b> /Trba. in m. 212 and <b>A</b> /
		Fl., Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor., Viol. I, II, Vle.,
		Vc., Cb. in mm. 213–14.
215	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , <b>f</b> <sup>z</sup> instead of <b>f</b> p from Woodwinds
		and Cor. III, IV as given in A prior to
		revision. We follow the definitive version
		in <b>A</b> ( <i>z</i> of <i>fz</i> overwritten in all instruments
		with $p$ by Simrock's editor with lead
		pencil), E, EO/Woodwinds, Cor. III, IV.
216	Pf.	$\sim$ begins in <b>EP</b> at the second semi-
210	11.	quaver as in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Strings, where the
		Cannot begin earlier since preceded
		by $f$ at the first semiquaver. In our edi-
		tion $\leq$ begins at the first semiquaver
		on basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> /Woodwinds, Cor. III, IV
		and EO/Fl., Ob. I, Clar., Fag. II, Cor. III
		(in EO/Ob. II, Fag. I, Cor. IV — begins
		at end of m. 215), since in EP Dvořák did
		not set $oldsymbol{f}$ at the first semiquaver, deciding
		evidently to follow here the dynamics of
		the wind instruments.
221-3	l.h.	In <b>EP</b> , > at note 2–6 in m. 221, at note
		2, 3 in m. 222 and at note 1 in m. 223,
		probably due to a careless levelling of
		the markings on basis of mm. 219–20
		and following the version before revi-
		sion in A/Ob., Clar., m. 223 (here all >
		struck and replaced by staccato dots by
		Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our
		Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, staccato dots instead of > as in
		Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, staccato dots instead of $>$ as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots
		Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, staccato dots instead of > as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots partly added by Simrock's editor with
		Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, staccato dots instead of $>$ as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots
		Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, staccato dots instead of > as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots partly added by Simrock's editor with
223	r.h.	Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, staccato dots instead of > as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots partly added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I,
223	r.h.	Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, staccato dots instead of > as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots partly added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Trba., Vc., Cb.
223	r.h.	Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, staccato dots instead of > as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots partly added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Trba., Vc., Cb. In <b>EP</b> , > on the last four quavers, proba-
223	r.h.	Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, staccato dots instead of > as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots partly added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Trba., Vc., Cb. In <b>EP</b> , > on the last four quavers, proba- bly following the version before revision
223	r.h.	Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, staccato dots instead of > as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots partly added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Trba., Vc., Cb. In <b>EP</b> , > on the last four quavers, proba- bly following the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Ob., Clar. (here all > struck and replaced with staccato dots by Simrock's
223	r.h.	Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, staccato dots instead of > as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots partly added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Trba., Vc., Cb. In <b>EP</b> , > on the last four quavers, proba- bly following the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Ob., Clar. (here all > struck and
223	r.h.	Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, staccato dots instead of > as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots partly added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Trba., Vc., Cb. In <b>EP</b> , > on the last four quavers, proba- bly following the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Ob., Clar. (here all > struck and replaced with staccato dots by Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, no accents and no staccato dots as in the
		Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, staccato dots instead of > as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots partly added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Trba., Vc., Cb. In <b>EP</b> , > on the last four quavers, proba- bly following the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Ob., Clar. (here all > struck and replaced with staccato dots by Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, no accents and no staccato dots as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I.
223 223	r.h. l.h.	Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, staccato dots instead of > as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots partly added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Trba., Vc., Cb. In <b>EP</b> , > on the last four quavers, proba- bly following the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Ob., Clar. (here all > struck and replaced with staccato dots by Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, no accents and no staccato dots as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I. Staccato dots at note 2–3 missing in <b>EP</b>
		Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, staccato dots instead of > as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots partly added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Trba., Vc., Cb. In <b>EP</b> , > on the last four quavers, proba- bly following the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Ob., Clar. (here all > struck and replaced with staccato dots by Simrock's editor with lead pencil). In our edition, no accents and no staccato dots as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I.

given in our edition as in the definitive version in **A** (staccato dots added in Cb. by Dvořák in thinner ink and in Fag., Cor. I, II, Trba. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil; in Ob., Clar. > struck and replaced by staccato dots by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), **E**, **EO**/Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Trba., Vc., Cb.

- Pf. In EP, staccato dot on note 1 missing and *f* instead of *f*<sup>z</sup>. We follow the definitive version in E, EO: staccato dot on note 1 as in Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Trba., Viol. I, Vc., Cb. (staccato dot in EO/Viol. I missing) and *f*<sup>z</sup> as in Viol. I, Vc., Cb. (In A, staccato dot in Ob., Clar. by Dvořák, staccato dot in Fag., Cor. I, II, Tba. added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, staccato dot in Viol. I and Cb. missing and inconsistent accentuation with ^ instead of *f*<sup>z</sup> in Viol. I by Dvořák, then unified to *f*<sup>z</sup> in the definitive version in E, EO/Viol. I).
- 227–8 r.h. In **EP**, ≪ ends before the first quaver in both measures due to lack of space, in our edition, ≪ ends before the last

224

227-9

230

230

231

- quaver according A, E, EO/Viol. I. l.h. In **EP**, ^ under note 1–2 in m. 227, note 1-4 in m. 228 and note 1-2 in m. 229; in our edition, staccato dots as in A (staccato dots in mm. 227-8 by Dvořák, staccato dots in m. 229 added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Vc., Cb. It is, however, possible that Dvořák intended here a particular accentuation for the piano divergent from the definitive version in his full score, so that an alternative marking as in **EP** is also plausible. Beginning of  $<\!\!<$  in **A** at note 4, in **E** Solo between note 1 and 2, in ES and EP at note 3, as probably intended by Dvořák. We follow therefore ES, EP. Slur from note 2 to note 6 missing in E. Our edition gives the slur according to A and ES and on the basis of m. 229. 1.h. In EP, ^ on note 1–3 from Vc., Cb. as given in A prior to revision (^ struck and replaced with > by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, then changed to staccato dots in E, EO on basis of mm. 227-9).
- in E, EO/Vc., Cb.
  l.h. ^ on note 1 in EP not accepted since not present in A, E, EO/Vc., Cb. and since similar accents ^ in the previous measure have been struck by Simrock's editor with lead pencil in the definitive version.

Our edition follows the definitive version

231–5	Pf.	Staccato dots at note 2 in mm. 231–3, at note 1, 2 in m. 234 and at note 1 in m. 235 missing in <b>EP</b> as in <b>A</b> /Strings pri- or to revision (most of the dots probably added later by Dvořák, since they are quite distant from the notes they refer to, other dots then inserted by Simrock's editor with lead pencil); in our edition, staccato dots according to the definitive		
233	Solo	version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Strings. Text in the main line from <b>A</b> (original version by Dvořák), text in the ossia from <b>E</b> , <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> (more comfortable ver- sion by Joachim with Dvořák's authorisa- tion).		
233-4	Pf.	In EP, note 2 in m. 233 and note 1, 2 in m. 234 crotchets without staccato dot as given in A/Strings prior to revision (flags for the quavers added later to the crotchets by Dvořák in thicker ink, most staccato dots added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil); in our edition, quavers with staccato dot as in the definitive version in A, E, EO and on basis of EP, m. 235, note 1 (quaver).	236	Pf.
235	Pf.	<i>mf</i> at note 2 missing in <b>EP</b> as in <b>A</b> /Ob., Clar., Fag. prior to revision, added in our edition on basis of the definitive version in <b>A</b> (added by Dvořák in Ob., Clar., Fag. I in a thinner ink than that used in Cor. I, II and Strings), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Clar., Fag. I, Cor. I, II. I.h. $^{\circ}$ on notes 2, 3 in <b>EP</b> , probably due to a misunderstanding of the first slightly vertical $>$ in <b>A</b> /Cor. I, II; we follow the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Cor. I, II: > on note 1 and $>$ plus staccato dot on	236 236, 237, 238	l.h. l.h.
235, 237	r.h.	note 2 (staccato dot missing in <b>A</b> , but added in the definitive version in <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> / Cor. I, II). In <b>EP</b> , slur extended until the last stac- cato quaver, probably due to a misunder- standing of the slur in <b>A</b> /Ob. I, extended slightly over the second quaver of the figuration. But as <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. I show, Dvořák clearly intended the slur here to and before the last staceate guaver		
235-8	Pf.	end before the last staccato quaver. In EP, inconsistent simplification of the note values in A/Ob., Clar., Fag. I, Cor. I, II: – all notes in last chord in in r.h. reduced to staccato-quavers in all measures – last note in l.h. reduced to quaver in mm. 236–8, but not in m. 235 – first two staccato quavers in the lower voice in r.h. in m. 236/Ob. II reduced to a crotchet without staccato, probably on	237	Pf.

basis of m. 235 (instead of the rightly corresponding passage in m. 238) It is possible that the simplification of the orchestral part in EP, which was possibly done by the editor, was intended to make the part more suitable for the piano. However, the simplification is not consistent and follows in the markings the version in A prior to revision (see the annotations to mm. 235, 236, 237, 238 below). Therefore, we follow here more closely the definitive version in A, E, EO/ Ob., Clar., Fag. I, Cor. I, II, where Dvořák evidently intended a more differentiated notation of the passage in order to vary the same figuration in each new measure.

missing in EP as in A/Ob. Clar., Fag. prior to revision, added in our edition on basis of the definitive version in A ( added by Dvořák in Ob., Clar., Fag. I in a thinner ink than that used in Cor. I, II and Strings), E, EO/Ob., Clar., Fag. I, Cor. I, II.

In **EP**, before revision in A/Cor. I, II (still decipherable under the definitive version in A/Cor. I, II). We follow the definitive notation in A, E, EO/Cor. I, II. For the different markings (staccato dots and > instead of  $\wedge$ ), see the next annotation below.

In EP, ^ under all notes (except for note 1 in m. 237), probably due to a misinterpretation of the accents > which Dvořák set slightly vertically in A/Cor. I, II (especially in mm. 236, 237) and to a careless levelling of Dvořák's differentiation between staccato dots and accentuation with > in A/Cor. I, II. We restore the original markings in A/Cor. I, II on basis of the definitive print version in E, EO/Cor. I, II: staccato dots without any accent in note 1-3 in mm. 236, 238, and accent > without staccato dots in note 4 in mm. 236, 238 and in note 2, 3 in m. 237. It is however possible, though less probable, that Dvořák wanted in EP a simplified accentuation for the piano divergent from the more differentiated version in his full score (see also annotations to mm. 243-7 and 250-51). In **EP**,  $\leq$  from note 2 to the last note from Cor. I, II as given in A prior to revision (<< struck in Cor. I, II by Simrock's editor with lead pencil); in our

		edition, <i>cresc.</i> as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( <i>cresc.</i> in Ob, Cor. I, II by Dvořák in thinner ink, in Clar., Fag. I by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> / Ob., Clar., Fag. I, Cor. I, II. <b>f</b> at note 1 in l.h. missing in <b>EP</b> as in <b>A</b> /Vc., Cb. prior to revision, added in our edition on basis of the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( <b>f</b> added in Vc., Cb. with thinner ink by Dvořák), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Strings.
237	l.h.	In EP, note 2–3 from the version before revision in A/Cor. I, II (still decipherable under the definitive version in A/Cor. I, II). We follow the definitive notation in A, E, EO/Cor. I, II also on basis of m. 235 (there last note also crotchet instead of quaver). For the different markings (> instead of ^) see the annotation to mm. 236, 237, 238
238	l.h.	above. In <b>EP</b> , $\begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline$
238, 239	Pf.	<i>f</i> at note 1 missing in <b>EP</b> as in <b>A</b> /Clar., Fag. prior to revision, added in our edi- tion on basis of the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Wind instruments (m. 238, <i>f</i> in Clar., Fag. added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil) and in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Strings (m. 239).
243–7	Pf.	In EP, ^ on all crotchets as given in A/ Cor. III, IV, Trba., Viol. I, II prior to revi- sion (in Cor. III, IV, Trba., Viol. I, II ^ struck and replaced with > by Simrock's editor with lead pencil; in the other instruments, missing > inserted by Simrock's editor with lead pencil); in our edition, > as in the definitive version in A, E, EO/Tutti.
246	Pf.	\$ before b missing in EP (evidently deemed unnecessary after the new bar line), given in our edition on basis of A,
250–51	r.h.	E, EO to avoid misunderstandings. In EP, all notes with ^ without any other marking, probably due to a misinterpre- tation of the accents > which Dvořák set slightly vertically in A/Cor. III, IV prior to revision and to a careless levelling of Dvořák's differentiation between staccato dots and accentuation with > in the de- finitive version in A/Cor. III, IV (rewrit-

ten in an extra stave over the Fl.). We follow the definitive version in **A**, **E**, **EO**/ Cor. III, IV for all markings (*f*, staccato dots, > ).

Solo Position of *dim.* inconsistent in the sources. In A at note 3, in E at note 10, in ES at note 11, in EP at note 12. We follow E, but the position in the other sources is also plausible.

252

252

254

255-6

255-6

257 - 8

r.h.

Pf

- Pf. *fp dim. pp* missing in **EP**, given in our edition on basis of **A**, **E**, **EO**/Cor. III, IV. It is, however, possible that Dvořák intended to omit the markings since they are not appropriate for the piano. We nonetheless give the markings to enable the pianist have a sense of the orchestral part.
  - Lower voice in **EP** without slurs as partly given in **A**/Clar. I prior to revision (first slur missing here), both slurs given in our edition on basis of the definitive version in **A** (first slur added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), **E**, **EO**/Clar. I.  $\checkmark$  in m. 255, > in m. 256 and slurs for the last triplet of m. 256 (inner voices) missing in **EP**, given in our edition on basis of the definitive version in **A** ( $\checkmark$  and > probably already present in the version prior to revision, slurs for the triplets added later by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), **E**, **EO**/Fl. I, Ob. I, Clar.
- r.h. In EP, one slur from note 3 in m. 255 to note 2 in m. 256 from Fl. I as given in A prior to revision, in our edition two slurs as in the definitive version in A (single slur over both measures divided by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/ Fl. I.
  - Pf. *pp* missing in **EP**, added in our edition at note 2 as given in the definitive version in A/Fl. I, Ob. I, Clar. (pp between note 2 and note 3 in Fl. I moved to before note 2 by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, pp after note 1 in Ob. I relocated to note 2 by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, pp at beat 2 in Clar. I added later by Dvořák in thinner ink), E, EO/Fl. I, Ob. I, Clar. In **EP**, single slur over the whole bar in r.h. in m. 258, divided in our edition in two slurs, one for the upper voice and one for the lower voice in r.h. on basis of the separated slurring of Fl. and Ob. in A, E, EO and following the corresponding separation of the beam direction in both voices in EP.

The notation of l.h. in EP does not cor-

respond in all notes to the corresponding part of Clar. in **A**, **E**, **EO**. We nonetheless retain the version of **EP** here, presuming an intentional change of the orchestral notation in the piano part (prolonging the c' to make the piano part sound fuller). A possible alternative reading of the l.h. that more closely approximates the orchestral score would be:

Position and length of *consistent* 259 - 60Solo in the sources, but probably intended by Dvořák to begin at note 1 in m. 259 as in E, EP, and to end before beat 3 as in A, ES. In our edition,  $\leq$  begins at note 1 in m. 259 as in E, EP, and ends before beat 3 including the  $\infty$  as in **A**, **ES**. In **EP**, >> from the last triplet in m. 260 260 Pf., Solo until note 1 in m. 261. We set >> as evidently intended by Dvořák according to A/Fl. I, E/Fl. I, Ob. I (Vc. lacks >> here), ES and EO/Ob. I. 260 r.h. In EP, tie from note 2 to note 3 in the lower voice  $(b\flat'')$  probably due to a careless levelling with the tie in the solo violin voice, not accepted in our edition on basis of A, E, EO/Ob. I. 261-2 Pf. In EP, dim. at note 1 missing due to the continuation of the >>> from the previous measure (see previous annotation), and pp instead of p at beat 4 from Fl. I as given in A prior to revision; in our edition, we add *dim*. in l.h. as given in A, E, EO/Fag., replace *pp* with *p* at beat 4 in r.h. according to the definitive version

> in **A** (first p of the pp in Fl. I struck by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/ Fl. I, and insert the slur implied in the upper voice in l.h. as given in A, E, EO/Vc. The prolongation of c in l.h. from the last crotchet in m. 260 through m. 261 and until the first crotchet in m. 262 is not given in any orchestral part in A, E, EO, but seems to have been added intentionally in EP, as with the similar prolongation of the c' in mm. 257–8 (see the corresponding annotation), in order to make the piano part sound fuller. Therefore, in our edition we leave the prolongation as in EP, contrary to the orchestral part in A, E, EO. A possible alternative part of l.h. closer to the orchestral score would be:

263

265

Ρf

ings; in our edition, we give both *p* in r.h. and *pp* in l.h. to maintain the differentiation between main voice and accompaniment as given in **A**, **E**, **EO**/Ob. I, Viol. I, II, Vle. (*espressivo* without abbreviation as given in **A**). In **EP**, slur in the inner voice from r.h.

In EP, slur in the inner voice from r.h. to l.h. missing as in the version before revision in A/Fl. I, added in our edition according to the definitive version in A (slur added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Fl. I.

In EP, espress. without dynamic mark-

r.h. In **EP**, slur ends at the last note of the bar as given in **A**/Ob. I prior to revision; in our edition, slur extended until the next bar (beginning of the next movement) according to the definitive version in **A** (slur extended in Ob. I by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), **E**, **EO**/Ob. I.

#### [II] Adagio ma non troppo

Solo

6

- 1 In A, tempo Andante con moto/Andante struck and substituted with Adagio ma non troppo by Dvořák and Simrock's editors. In E, ES, EP, EO definitive tempo Adagio ma non troppo. r.h. Slur to note 1 in the upper voice (from 1 the previous movement) missing in EP as in A/Ob. I prior to revision; added in our edition according to the definitive version in A (slur extended in Ob. I by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/ Ob. I. 1–2 r.h. Slur over both measures in the lower voice missing in EP, added in our edition as given in A, E, EO/Vle and on basis of the same slur in the lower voice in l.h. 5-6 r.h. Slur from note 1 to note 2 in m. 5 in the upper voice and over mm. 5 and 6 in the lower voice missing in EP, added in our edition according to A, E, EO/Fag. Slur in the lower voice, however, not given over each measure, but over both measures on basis of the same slur in l.h. (see the next annotation below). 5 - 8l.h. In EP, slur in the lower voice from note
  - 1 in m. 5 until note 2 in m. 7 as given in A/Vc prior to revision. We follow the definitive version in A (slur from m. 5 until m. 7 divided into two slurs by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Vc.: first slur from note 1 in m. 5 until the last note in m. 6 and second slur from note 1 in m. 7 until the last note in m. 8.  $\triangleleft$  ends in E at note 3. We follow A and extend  $\triangleleft$  to note 5.

7	r.h.	Slur in the lower voice missing in <b>EP</b> , but evidently implied by the slur in the up- per voice, therefore added in our edition
8–9	r.h.	as given in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vle. In <b>EP</b> , slur in the upper voice until the last note of m. 8 from Fag. as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision, in our edition until note 1 in m. 9 as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (slur until the last note of m. 8 extended later until note 1 in m. 9 by Dvořák), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fag. I.
8, 9	r.h.	In <b>EP</b> , slur missing in the lower voice in m. 8 (but evidently implied by the slur in the upper voice) and slur from note 1 to note 4 in m. 9 from Vle. as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision. We add the first slur until note 1 in m. 9 and set the second slur from note 2 to note 4 in m. 9 as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (first slur extended in Vle. until note 1 in m. 9 by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, second slur cancelled and set from note 2 to note 4 by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vle.
10	l.h.	Slur from note 1 to note 3 missing in <b>EP</b> , but evidently implied by the slur over the whole bar in r.h., therefore added in our edition as given in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vc.
11	r.h.	Slur in the lower voice missing in <b>EP</b> due to lack of space over the $\rightarrow$ , added in our edition on basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vle.
14	Pf.	> in EP missing as in the version before revision in A/Ob. I, added in our edition as given in the definitive version in A ( $>$ added in Ob. I by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Ob., Vle., Vc.
14–15	r.h.	Slur from note 1 in m. 14 to note 1 in m. 15 in the lower voice missing in <b>EP</b> , given in our edition on basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> / Clar, I.
15	Solo	Beginning of $<\!\!<$ in <b>E</b> , <b>EP</b> at note 1, in <b>ES</b> at note 2. We follow <b>A</b> , where $<\!\!<$ begins at note 4 parallel to the $<\!\!<$ in Fl., Ob. II. and Clar. I.
15–16	Pf.	→ in <b>EP</b> over the entire bar probably due to a careless levelling of the markings in <b>A</b> /Fl., Ob. II, Clar. I (probably following the → over the entire bar in m. 10–11); in our edition, → as clearly intended by Dvořák in <b>A</b> (no → or → starts at the beginning of the bar; rather, they always begin in the middle of the bar) and as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (end of → slightly over m. 15 in Fl. struck by Simrock's editor with lead pencil to avoid

misunderstandings), E/Fl., Ob. II, Clar. I and EO/Fl. I, Ob. II (in EO/Clar. I  $\checkmark$  over the entire bar).

Solo → begins in E at the main note (note 5). We include the Vorschlag in the → as given in A, ES, EP.
Pf. In EP, → from beat 2 until bar end instead of *dim.* at the beginning of the bar, probably due to lack of space. We replace

16

17

19

20

21

23, 27

23, 27

27

- in our edition with *dim*. from note 1
  as given in A, E, EO/FL, Ob. II, Clar. I (in EO/Ob. II, Clar. I *dim*. from the second beat) and on basis of the same *dim*. in Solo. Slurs in the inner voices missing in EP, but implied under the slurred upper voice; therefore, slurs in inner voices given in our edition on basis of A, E, EO/Fl. II, Ob. II.
- Pf. Slurs in the lower voices of r.h. and l.h. missing in **EP**, but evidently implied under the slurred upper voices, therefore added in our edition as given in **A**, **E**, **EO**/Fl. II, Clar. I.
- Solo  $\rightarrow$  begins in **A**, **E** after beat 1, but was probably intended by Dvořák to begin at beat 1 parallel to Fl. and Ob. We therefore follow **ES**.
- Pf. *pp* missing in **EP**, added in our edition as given in the definitive printed version in **E**, **EO**/Fl., Ob. (in **A**/Fl., Ob.: *p*).
  - Pf. In **EP**, *pp* in m. 23 and *mf* in m. 27; we differ between r.h. (*p* and *mf*) and l.h. in *pp* to maintain the differentiation between melody and accompaniment as given in **A**, **E**, **EO**/Fl. I, Ob. I, Clar., Cor. I, Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc.
  - r.h. Slur extended in **EP** until note 1 of the next bar, probably due to the unclear ending of the slur in **A**/Fl. I. However, the slur is evidently intended by Dvořák in both measures not to be extended until the next bar, as then given in the definitive print version in **E**, **EO**/Fl. I. In our edition, we therefore follow **E** and **EO**/Fl. I.
  - r.h. → in EP from note 3, in our edition from note 5, as clearly intended and marked by Simrock's editor with blue crayon in A/Fl. I and then given in the definitive version in E, EO/Fl. I.
    Solo ← in E from note 4 to note 10, in A from note 7 (probably due to lack of space) to note 11, in EP from note 2 to note 12. We follow ES: ← from note 5 to note 12 corresponding to the ascending line of the figuration, as presumably intended by Dvořák in A.

31	Solo	in E from note 14 to note 17, in A from note 14 (probably due to lack of space) to note 17, in EP from note 12 to note 17. We follow ES:  from note 13 to note 17 corresponding to the descending line of the figuration, as presumably intended by Dvořák in A. In E, EP <i>cresc.</i> instead of  as in A, ES, probably due to a careless modification of Dvořák's original notation to avoid two  in mm. 31 and 32. We follow A, ES and retain the double  in mm. 31 and 32 as originally intended by the accuracy in the double with a compared of the accuracy in the double of the figuration.	39	Pf.	lower than in <b>EP</b> and in <b>A</b> /Viol. I, are separated and accentuated with $>$ . In <b>EP</b> , slur in the upper voice in r.h. missing as in <b>A</b> /Ob. I prior to revision, added in our edition according to the definitive version in <b>A</b> (slur over mm. 39 and 40 in Fl. divided into two slurs by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, slurs over m. 39 and over m. 40 in Ob. I added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fl., Ob. I. In <b>EP</b> , slur in the lower voice in r.h. missing, added in our edition as given in
32, 33	Pf.	by the composer. In <b>EP</b> , <i>pp</i> at note 1 in m. 32 as given in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Strings (corresponding to the notation in l.h.). An alternative with <i>dim.</i> in m. 32 and <i>pp</i> in m. 33 following in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> /Woodwinds (corresponding to			<b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I. It is, however, possible, though less probable, that the slur in r.h. has been omitted in <b>EP</b> according to <b>A</b> /Cor. II, where both notes, notated one octave lower than in <b>EP</b> and in <b>A</b> /Viol. I, are not slurred.
33	Solo	the notation in r.h.) is also possible here. $\rightarrow$ under the undecuplet missing in <b>E</b> , <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> . In <b>A</b> , $\rightarrow$ under the undecuplet very close to the figuration beam (for this reason probably overleaked by the	40	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , > instead of ^ in l.h. due to a care- less levelling of Dvořák's markings in <b>A</b> / Vc., Cb. We restore the original accent ^ as given in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vc., Cb.
		this reason probably overlooked by the printer) and only to note 16 due to lack of space. We follow <b>A</b> and add $\rightarrow$ to note 18 as evidently intended by Dvořák.	40	Γ1.	Staccato dot on note 1 missing in <b>EP</b> as in the version before revision in <b>A</b> / Clar. I, added in our edition according to the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dot added in Clar. I by Simrock's editor with
34–5	r.h.	Slurs in the lower voice missing in <b>EP</b> , but evidently implied under the slur in the upper voice. We add them in our edi- tion as given in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vle.			lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Clar. I and on basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fag. I, Viol. I. Slur in the lower voice of r.h. missing in <b>EP</b> , but implied under the slur for the
35	Pf.	✓ in EP from note 1 due to the unclear beginning of ✓ after note 1 in A/Viol. I, Vle., Vc., Cb. However, none of the orchestral instruments in A has ✓ beginning at note 1 as given in EP. Therefore, ✓ begins in our edition at note 2 as in the definitive version in E, EO/Viol. I, Vle., Vc.			upper voice, therefore added in our edi- tion as given in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Clar. I, Fag. I, Viol. I. Slur from note 3 to note 5 in l.h. missing in <b>EP</b> , but implied under the slur for the upper voice in r.h., therefore added in our edition as given in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fag. I, Vle.
36	Pf.	<i>pp</i> missing in <b>EP</b> due to lack of space after the $\longrightarrow$ until bar end, added in our edition as given in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc.			<i>dim.</i> in <b>EP</b> directly after note 1 due to lack of space at beat 2, in our edition from beat 2 as evidently intended in <b>A</b> and realized in <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fl., Ob. I, Clar. I,
38	Pf.	Staccato dot on note 1 missing in <b>EP</b> as in <b>A</b> /Fl. before revision, added in our edition according to the definitive ver- sion in <b>A</b> (staccato dot added in Fl. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> / Fl. and on basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. Second slur in r.h. and slur in l.h. missing in <b>EP</b> , added in our edition as given in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I, II, Vc., Cb. It is, however, possible, though less prob-	41	Pf.	<ul> <li>Fag., Cor., Strings.</li> <li>In EP, <i>ff trem.</i>, probably due to a careless levelling of the various dynamics in the tutti (<i>fz</i> in Fl., Ob., Clar., <i>f</i> in Fag., Cor. I, II, Timp., Viol. I, Vc., Cb., <i>fp</i> in Viol. II, Vle.). In our edition, <i>fp</i> on basis of Viol. II and Vle. in A, E, EO, to which the tremolo in the piano part corresponds, and <i>trem.</i> omitted since redundant.</li> </ul>
		able, that the second slur in r.h. has been omitted in <b>EP</b> according to <b>A</b> /Cor. I, II, where both notes, notated one octave	42	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $>>$ from note 1 until the end of beat 2, in our edition <i>dim</i> . as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( <i>dim</i> . added

43	l.h.	in Viol. II, Vle. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Viol. II, Vle., Vc., Cb. <i>p</i> in EP over note 2 of the tremolo in l.h.
		evidently referred to l.h. Also on basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fag., Vc., Cb. we set it under note 1 in l.h. to avoid a confusion with the $f$ for r.h.
45	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $\leq$ from note 2 until bar end, replaced in our edition with <i>cresc</i> . be- ginning parallel to the $\leq$ in the solo violin as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( <i>cresc</i> . added in Vle. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. II, Vle., Vc., Cb. In <b>EP</b> , $>$ on note 1, 2 in r.h. due to a care- less levelling of $\land$ in <b>A</b> /Cor. I (the thinner $\land$ by Dvořák has been overwritten in both notes by Simrock's editor with lead pencil to avoid misunderstandings). We restore $\land$ as given in all other sources ( <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Cor. I). In <b>EP</b> , note 1 in l.h. mistakenly $F\flat$ ' in- stead of $A\flat$ ', corrected in our edition on basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vc., Cb.
46	l.h.	$\sim$ missing in <b>EP</b> due to lack of space, given in our edition according to <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vc., Cb. and on basis of the $\sim$ in Solo.
46, 47	r.h.	In <b>EP</b> , slur over the triplet as grouping mark for the triplet with rest; in our edi- tion, we use square brackets as grouping mark for the triplet in order to avoid confusion with slur according to <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Cor. I, where the triplet has staccato dots without slur (except for the triplet in <b>A</b> /Cor. I, m. 46, where the slur is evidently intended by Dvořák as grouping mark).
47	Pf.	<i>dim.</i> missing in <b>EP</b> due to lack of space, given in our edition as in the definitive version of <b>A</b> ( $>>$ overwritten with <i>dim.</i> in Vc., Cb. and cancelled by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> / Viol. II, Vle., Vc., Cb.
48	Solo	<i>dim.</i> in <b>E</b> , <b>EP</b> from note 1, but in <b>A</b> , <b>ES</b> clearly from note 3. We therefore follow <b>A</b> , <b>ES</b> , since <b>E</b> , <b>EP</b> are probably a careless levelling of Dvořák's intention.
48	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , <i>pp</i> at beat 2, replaced in our edi- tion with <i>p</i> on basis of the definitive ver- sion in <b>A</b> ( <i>p</i> added in Clar. by Simrock's editor in lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Clar., Fag. and on basis of the same passage in <b>EP</b> , m. 64.
49	Solo	$\rightarrow$ from note 1 to note 4 in E, EP. We follow A, ES: no $\rightarrow$ as in Clar., Fag., Vc., Cb.
49	l.h.	Staccato dot at note 3 in the lower voice missing in <b>EP</b> , added in our edition since

note 3 is notated pizzicato in **A**, **E**, **EO**/ Cb. and pizzicato notes in **EP** are otherwise always expressed with staccato dots.

r.h. In **EP**, last *bb*' in the upper voice (corresponding to **A**, **E**, **EO**/Clar. I) prolonged until m. 50 since more appropriate for the piano accompaniment.

49-50

51, 52

52, 54

53

53

54

59

55, 56

r.h.

Pf.

r.h.

Pf.

r.h. Slurs missing in EP, added in our edition according to the definitive version in A (slur over mm. 51 and 52 in Vle. added in thinner ink by Dvořák), E, EO/Cor. I, II, Vle. and on basis of EP, l.h., m. 52 (slur over the whole measure, see annotation to mm. 52, 54 below).

I.h. In EP, slur from note 1 to note 3 from Vc. as given in A prior to revision, we follow the definitive version in A (slurs began in both measures in Vc. between note 1 and note 2, Simrock's editor marked them unequivocally from note 2 with lead pencil), E, EO/Vc.

Solo 
in E, EP from note 1, in ES from note 2. We follow A, since Dvořák clearly put 
under the second figuration from note 4 parallel to the 
in Cor. and Vle.

in EP until note 3; in our edition,
ends before note 3 as clearly given in A, E, EO/Cor. I, II, Vle.

*pp* missing in **EP**, added in our edition as given in **A**, **E**, **EO**/Cor. I, II, Vle. Slurs of the inner voice missing in **EP**, but evidently implied under the slur

in the upper voice. We therefore give both slurs in our edition on basis of the definitive version in **A** (first slur added in Fag. I by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), **E**, **EO**/Fag. I.

ends in EP directly before note 2 of m. 56, in our edition at note 1 as clearly indicated by Dvořák in A/Vc. and then given in the definitive version in E, EO/Vc. In **EP**, *marcato* over the first beat and >>> covering the first beat, followed by p at the beginning of the second beat. It is not clear if these markings, which do not correspond to the markings in A, E and EO, come from the version in A prior to revision, since the erased part of Viol. I in A is no longer decipherable. On basis of the same passage in m. 43, we follow the markings in the definitive version in A, E, EO: >> not accepted, p at beat 1, then *marcato* and *f* refer to r.h. (Cor. I, II). The markings given in EP, though not supported by any other sources, none-

re
d
IS-
/e
ı
ion
n
i-
)
f
).
EO/
2
III:

67

73

75

Solo

Pf.

Since both versions are harmonically correct, it is possible that this happened by mistake (erroneous third-shift during the transcription of the part of a transposing instrument) or by a deliberate choice of the composer in order to create a variant. The version in **EP** is slightly easier to play on the piano, but it does not correspond to the definitive orchestral part by Dvořák. For practical purposes and since Dvořák evidently intended his piano part not as new independent accompaniment for the violin solo part, but as a reduction of the orchestral score, we restore in our edition the definitive version in A, E, EO/Cor. III. However, a variant with the upper voice a third lower as in EP, presuming an exceptional, deliberate variation by Dvořák, is also conceivable. In this case, the prolongation of the d until the first semiquaver of the third beat in m. 62 must be omitted since it is derived from the version before revision in A/Cor. III (semiguaver erased by Dvořák in Cor. III). In EP, slurs from the first semiquaver in m. 64 to the crotchet in m. 65; in our edition, slurs only to the last semiguaver in

64-5

Pf.

m. 65 according to the definitive version in A, E/Clar., Fag. and on basis of the same passage in EP, mm. 48-9.

- Solo ^ on note 4, 8 missing in E, ES, EP, but clearly notated by Dvořák in A as continuation of the accentuation in mm. 65-6 through m. 67.
- 68-9 Pf. In EP, pp at the beginning of m. 68 instead of m. 69, probably due to a confusion of the *pp* in Strings in m. 69 with the p in Cor. I, II in m. 68 in A. In our edition, p in m. 68 and pp in m. 69 on basis of A, E, EO/Cor. I, II (m. 68) and Strings (m. 69).
  - Fingerings by Joseph Joachim on note 2 and 7 missing in E, given in our edition according to ES.
  - In **EP**, f >> until bar end from Strings as given in A prior to revision, replaced in our edition with *mf* and *dim*. on basis of the definitive version in A (the inconsistent notation has been unified here by Simrock's editor with lead pencil as follow: in Strings *m* added to *f*, in Fl. *sf* overwritten with *mf*, over all instruments *mf* dim. with the indication "überall (außer Solo Violine)" ["everywhere (except solo violin)"]) and on basis of the definitive print version in E/Fl., Clar., Strings. (In EO/Clar., Strings *mf* as in the definitive version, but >>> as in A prior to revision).
- $\ddagger$  over the first *t*<sup>r</sup> in m. 75 and  $\ddagger$  over the 75, 76 Solo second tr in m. 76 missing in all sources, but implied after the f (note 2) in m. 75 and the *b*<sup>1</sup> (note 1, 4) in m. 76. 75-6 r.h. Tie in the lower voice missing in EP, given in our edition on basis of A, E, EO/
  - Vle. Pf. In  $EP_{\ell}$   $\leq$  in m. 83 and > in m. 84, mistakently taken from the solo violin part ( $\leq$  and  $\geq$  added here by Simrock's editor with blue crayon); in our edition, <</td>only in m. 84 as given in the definitive orchestral score in A, E, EO/Strings.

Pf.

8

86

83, 84

In EP: 6 # + . Our notation

follows Dvořák's notation in A, E, EO/ Viol. II.

In EP, *p* placed over r.h., *dim*. missing following the dynamic markings in A/ Ob., Fag. and Cb. as given in A prior to revision. The notes of EP are clearly derived from Strings and not from Ob., Fag. Therefore, we follow here also for

		the markings the definitive version of ${f A}$
		( <i>dim.</i> added in Cb. by Simrock's editor
		with lead pencil), E, EO/Strings.
86-7	Solo	In E, EP dim. under note 6 instead
		of di-mi-nu-en-do. We follow Dvořák's
		original marking as <i>dimi-nuendo</i> in <b>A</b>
		(and <b>ES</b> ), but put each syllable of the
		word at the beginning of each subse-
		quent iteration of the sequence to express
		more precisely the gradual decrease in
		dynamics.
87	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $>>$ from note 1 to note 3 over
		r.h. from A, EO/Strings. The definitive
		print version in E/Strings, however, has
		broken lines from the <i>dim</i> . in m. 86 until
		end of m. 87 instead of $>>$ in order to
		avoid a repetition of $>>$ after the $>>$
		in m. 85 and to agree with the parallel
		<i>di-mi-nu-en-do</i> in the solo violin part.
		In our edition, we follow the definitive
		print version authorised by Dvořák in E/
		Strings.
		Staccato dots in l.h. missing in <b>EP</b> , given in our edition on basis of mm. 85–6.
89	l.h.	Slur in the upper voice missing in <b>EP</b> ,
07	1.11.	but evidently presumed under the
		slurred upper voice in r.h.; therefore, we
		give the slur in our edition on basis of <b>A</b> ,
		E, EO/Vle., Vc.
91–2	l.h.	Slur in the upper voice missing in <b>EP</b> , but
		evidently presumed under the slurred
		upper voice in r.h.; therefore, given in
		our edition on basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vle.
93	Pf.	$<\!\!<$ begins in EP at note 3, in our edi-
		tion at note 1 as evidently intended by
		Dvořák in A/Viol. I, II, Vle. and given in
		the definitive version in E/Viol. I, II, Vle.
		(in EO/Viol. I, II, Vle. inconsistent begin-
		ning of <<>).
94–6	Pf.	In EP, cresc. in m. 95 from Strings as
		given in <b>A</b> prior to revision; in our edi-
		tion, $fp >>$ (m. 94), $<<$ (m. 95), $fp >>$
		(m. 96) on basis of the definitive version
		in A ( <i>crescendo</i> struck by Simrock's editor with load papeil $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{r}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{r}}$
		with lead pencil, $fp \gg$ , $\ll$ , $fp \gg$ added over the first erased version by
		Dvořák, and completed and corrected by
		Simrock's editor with lead pencil where
		it was incomplete or inconsistent), E, EO/
		Strings.
97	r.h.	In EP, $p$ missing; given in our edition on
		basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fl. in order to differen-
		tiate the dynamics of the main voice and
		the accompaniment.
98, 100	Solo	Beginning and end of $<\!\!<$ under the
		solo figurations inconsistent in the
		sources, but evidently intended by

100

101

102

103

104

105

111

112–13

Pf.

Pf.

Solo

Dvořák to cover the figuration from the demisemiquavers to end of measure in both instances.

ES, EP, thus connecting the figuration with a second to the *d* in the next measure as in m. 98.

- l.h. In EP, legato from Clar. as given in A prior to revision; in our edition, no indication as in the definitive version in A (legato in Clar. struck by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Clar.
- Pf. In EP, from Fl. I, Ob. I, Clar., Fag. I, Cor. II as given in A prior to revision; in our edition, *fp* as in the definitive version in A (fz or z of f struck and replaced with fp or p in all instruments by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E/ Fl. I, Ob. I, Clar., Fag. I, Cor. II and EO/ Fl. I, Ob. I, Fag. I, Cor. II. (in EO/Clar. I fp missing).
  - l.h. dim. missing in EP from Ob. I, Clar., Fag. I, Cor. I, II as given in A prior to revision, added in our edition as given in the definitive version in A (dim. added by Dvořák in thinner ink), E, EO/Ob. I, Clar., Fag. I, Cor. I, II.
    - $p \ll >$  missing in EP, given in our edition on basis of the definitive version in A, E, EO/Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc. In **EP**, >>> from note 1 to note 3 as given in A/Vle., Vc., Cb. prior to revision; in our edition, dim. from note 2 as in the definitive version in A (dim. added in Strings, in Vc. over the previous >>>, in thicker ink by Dvořák; >>> struck by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/ Strings.
  - Pf. In **EP**, *ritard*. from note 1 and >> from note 1 to bar end; we replace >> with dim. and set rit. in the middle of the bar as in the definitive version in A (dim. added in Trba. in thicker ink by Dvořák, rit. in the middle of the bar added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Trba. Pf.



		We follow the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. I, Clar. II, Trba., Vle., Vc. (staccato dots added on basis of <b>EP</b> , mm. 108–12, 115–16 and 118); for the $\checkmark$ we follow <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Trba. ( $\checkmark$ over m. 113) instead of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> /Ob. I, Clar. II ( $\checkmark$ over m. 112–13) on basis of <b>EP</b> , m. 117. The indication <i>espress.</i> ( <i>espressivo</i> ) in <b>EP</b> is not present in any other sources, but could have been an intentional addition by Dvořák for the piano part in order to stress the importance of the passage. We omit it on basis of <b>EP</b> , m. 116, but it is possible that it was intended for the whole passage until m 122
114	Pf.	m. 123. In EP, staccato dots in r.h. missing and
111	11.	> from note 2 under the upper voice
		in l.h. due to lack of space, in our edition
		> from <i>fp</i> until end of bar according
		to in A, E, EO/Fag., Cor. I, II, Vle., Vc.,
		Cb. and staccato dots in r.h. on basis of
		<b>EP</b> , mm. 108–12, 115–16 and 118.
115	Pf.	pp missing in EP, added in our edition as
		given in the definitive version in A ( $pp$
		in Trba. possibly added later by Dvořák
		in thicker ink), E, EO/Fag., Trba., Cor. I,
		II, Vle., Vc., Cb.
116	Pf.	$m{p}$ missing in ${ m EP}$ from Ob. I, Clar. II as
		given in $\mathbf{A}$ prior to revision, added in
		our edition as given in the definitive ver-
		sion in <b>A</b> ( $p$ in Ob. I, Clar. II added later
		by Dvořák in thicker ink), E, EO/Ob. I,
		Clar. II.

the definitive version in A (>> erased in Trba., Clar. II by Dvořák), E, EO/Ob. I, Clar. II, Trba. (staccato dots added on basis of EP, mm. 108-12, 115-16 and 118). In EP, *pp* between r.h. and l.h. as given in A/Clar. II, Fag. prior to revision (pp in Clar. II, Fag. struck and substituted with p by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, but retained for Trba.) and >>> over r.h. from beat 2 due to lack of space; in our edition, pp for r.h. (corresponding to Trba.), p under l.h. (corresponding to Clar. II, Fag., Vle., Vc., Cb.) and >> between the staves from note 1 (corresponding to all instruments except Trba.) on basis of the definitive version in A, E, EO/Ob. I, Clar. II, Fag., Trba., Vle., Vc., Cb. 119 r.h. Staccato dots missing in EP, added in our edition on basis of EP, mm. 108-12, 115-16 and 118.

122-3

125

126

127

129-31

132 - 3

Pf.

We follow

Pf.

r.h.

>> missing in A, EP, given in our 121 Pf. edition according to the definitive print version in E, EO/Viol. I, Vle.

> r.h. In EP, slur in the lower voice from note 1 to note 5 in m. 123 due to lack of space; in our edition, slur from note 3 in m. 122 to note 5 in m. 123 as given in A, E, EO/ Fag. I.

- in EP from note 3 (r.h.) in m. 124 124 - 5Pf to note 5 (r.h.) in m. 125 as given in A/ Fl. I, Ob. I, Clar. prior to revision; in our edition,  $<\!\!<$  as given in the definitive version in A (<>> indicated for all instruments over Fl. I by Simrock's editor with lead pencil) E/Fl. I, Ob. I, Clar. (in EO inconsistent position of *Solution*). Solo b over mordent missing in all sources,
  - but implied in the passage in A-flat major. Pf. >>> in **EP** from note 6 (r.h.) in m. 125 as in the version before revision in A/Fl. I, Ob. I, Clar.; in our edition, from note 1 in m. 126 as given in the definitive version in A (>>> indicated for all instruments over the Fl. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E (in EO inconsistent position of  $<\!\!<$ ).

In l.h., staccato dot missing in EP on note 1 in m. 126, added in our edition as given in A, E, EO/Fag. I and on basis of EP, m. 127.

*pp* missing in **EP** due to lack of space, given in our edition according to the definitive version in A, E, EO/Fl. I, Ob., Clar.

In EP, slur in the upper voice from note 1 to note 3 in m. 129 and from note 1 to note 3 in m. 130, missing slur in the lower voice in m. 130 and missing tie from note 3 in m. 130 to note 1 in m. 131 as given in in A/Ob., Fag. I prior to revision; in our edition, one slur from note 1 in m. 129 to note 1 in m. 131 in upper and lower voice and tie from note 3 in m. 130 to note 1 in m. 131 according to the definitive version in A (slur covering the three measures over Ob. I and Fag. and tie in Ob. written by Dvořák in thinner ink, slur covering the three measures added under Ob. I by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Ob., Fag. I. Slurs missing in the inner voices in EP due to lack of space, added in our edition on basis of EP, mm. 128-31 and according to A, E, EO/Viol. II, Vle., Vc.

118

Pf.

134–5	Solo	<pre>in E to note 2 in m. 135, in our edi-</pre>
134–5	Pf.	tion to note 1 according to <b>A</b> , <b>ES</b> . In <b>EP</b> , $\sim$ in m. 134 not extended to note 1 in m. 135 and $>$ missing in m. 135 due to lack of space in m. 135; we follow the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> / Fl., Fag.
134–8	Pf.	Slurs missing in the inner voices in <b>EP</b> due to lack of space, added in our edi- tion according to <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. I, Fag. and on basis of the same slurs in the upper voice in r.h.
135–6	Solo	> in E from note 4 to end of m. 135, in ES, EP from note 5 (main note) in m. 135 to note 1 in m. 136. We follow A.
136	Pf.	In EP, $p$ missing and <i>dim</i> . from note 1 due to lack of space; our edition as in the
139	Pf.	definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /FL, Ob. I, Fag. In <b>EP</b> , <i>cresc. molto</i> over r.h. due to lack of space under r.h., in our edition <i>molto</i> <i>cresc.</i> after $p$ according to the definitive version in <b>A</b> (under Fag. <i>molto</i> added to the right of <i>cresc.</i> by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, under Cb. <i>molto cresc:</i>
141	Pf.	by Dvořák), E, EO/Fag., Vc., Cb. <i>pesante</i> missing in EP as in the version before revision in A/Fl., Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor., Viol. I, II, Vle.; added in our edition as in the definitive version in A (added by Dvořák in ink under Cb. and by Simrock's editor in Fl., Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor., Viol. I, II, Vle. with lead pencil), E, EO(Fl. Ob. Clar. Fag., Cor. Stringe
141–5	l.h.	EO/ Fl., Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor., Strings. In EP, $\wedge$ under all notes, probably due to a misunderstanding of the slightly verti- cal accent > in A/Cb. and on basis of the only accent $\wedge$ in A/Cor. I, II, m. 142 (later overwritten with > by Simrock's editor with lead pencil); in our edition > as in in the definitive version in A, E, EO/Cor.
144	r.h.	I, II, Vc., Cb. In EP, slur from the three grace notes to note 2 as in the version before revision in A/Viol. I, extended to note 1 in our edi- tion as in the definitive version in A (slur extended to note 1 in Viol. I by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Viol. I, II.
146	Pf.	Callor Will lead period, <i>j</i> , <i>j</i> , <i>i</i>
147–8	r.h.	In EP, $\wedge$ over all notes from Viol. I, II as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision; in our edi- tion, $>$ as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( $\wedge$ in Viol. I, II overwritten by Dvořák with $>$ ), E, EO/Viol. I, II.
147–50	l.h.	In <b>EP</b> , neither > nor tenuto mark at note 1 due to a careless levelling of the

articulation in A/Fl. Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor., Viol. I, II, Cb., and slurs missing as in the version before revision in A/Vle., Vc., mm. 148-9; added in our edition as given in the definitive version in A (slurs covering the tremolo over the whole bar in Vle., Vc. added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil in mm. 148-9, some missing accents added by Simrock's edtior with lead pencil), E, EO/Fl. Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor., Strings.

Pf. In EP, dim. as given in A/Fl., Clar., Fag., Viol. I, II, Vle., Cb. prior to revision (in all instruments overwritten with >> by Dvořák except for Fl., where Simrock's editor has struck the dimi under the system and added >>> over the system with lead pencil, and added >>> over Viol. I with the indication "überall" ["everywhere"] with lead pencil); in our edition, >> from the definitive version in A, E/Fl., Ob., Clar., Fag., Strings and EO/Ob., Vc. (in EO/Fl., Clar., Fag., Viol. I, II, Vle., Cb. dim. as in A prior to revision).

149

151

158-9

Pf.

r.h. Tenuto on note 1 missing in **EP** as in the version before revision in A/Fl., Ob. I, Clar. II, Fag., Cor. I, II, Vle.; added in our edition as given in the definitive version in A (added in A/Fl., Ob. I, Clar. II, Fag. II, Cor. I, II, Vle. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E/Fl., Ob. I, Clar. II, Fag., Cor. I, II, Strings and EO/Fl. II, Ob. I, Clar. II, Fag., Cor. I, II, Strings (in EO/ Fl. missing as in A prior to revision). 155-6 Pf. In EP, slur until note 1 in m. 156; in our

edition, until end m. 155 as given in A, E, EO/Fag. I.

In **EP**,  $<\!\!<$  over the r.h. and until note 1 in m. 156 due to lack of space; in our edition, set between the staves and extended until note 7 in Solo as evidently intended by Dvořák in A/Ob. and indicated by Simrock's editor with lead pencil in A/Fag., and then given in E, EO/Ob., Fag.

In **EP**, *C* in m. 159 instead of *dim.* from beat 2 in m. 158 from Viol. I, Vle., Cb. in A prior to revision; in our edition, *dim.* instead of >> as in the definitive version in  ${f A}$  (in Viol. I and Vle. >overwritten with dimin. by Dvořák in ink, in Cb. *dimin*. added before >> by Dvořák with ink, dim. added over Fl. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E/Fl., Ob. I, Fag., Viol. I, Vle., Vc., Cb. and EO/ Fl., Ob. I, Fag. (in EO/Viol. I, Vle., Vc.,

		Cb., both <i>dim</i> . from the definitive version $d = \frac{1}{2} \int dr dr dr$			> from note 9 corresponding to the
		and $\gg$ from the version before revi-	168	Pf.	descending line of the passage. $>>$ in <b>EP</b> missing as in the version
159	Solo	sion in <b>A</b> ). <i>dim.</i> in <b>E</b> from note 2. We follow <b>A</b> , <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> .	100	11.	before revision in $A/Ob.$ , Clar. (however, $\rightarrow$ present in Cor. II), added in our edi-
159	r.h.	In <b>EP</b> , note <i>f</i> in the lower voice missing, added in our edition in square brackets according to <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Cor. I.			tion as given in the definitive version in $A$ /Cor. II and in $E$ , $EO$ /Ob., Clar. I, Cor. II (in $EO$ /Clar. II, erroneous $\sim$ instead
159–60	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , slurs until note 1 in m. 160 as giv- en in <b>A</b> /Viol. I prior to revision, in our edition until bar end in m. 159 according to the definitive version in <b>A</b> (slur cor- rected in Viol. I by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fl., Ob. I, Fag., Cor. I, Viol. I., Vle., Vc., Cb.	169	Pf.	of >>>). In <b>EP</b> , <i>p</i> as given in the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Ob., Clar.; in our edition, <i>pp</i> as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (in Ob., Clar. <i>p</i> added to <i>p</i> and in Cor. I <i>pp</i> inserted by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> /Ob., Clar., Cor. II.
160	r.h.	Slur from note 1 to note 2 missing in <b>EP</b> as in the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Fl. I, given in our edition according to the definitive version in <b>A</b> (added in Fl. I by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fl. I.	170	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{z}}$ from Fl. I, Clar. I, Fag. and $>$ from Fl. I, Ob., Clar. I, Fag., Cor. III, IV, Vle., Cb. as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision; our edition follows the definitive version in <b>A</b> (in Fl. I, Clar. I, Fag. $\mathbf{z}$ in $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{z}}$ overwrit- ten with $\mathbf{p}$ by Simrock's editor with lead
161–2	Pf.	>>, <i>p</i> , <i>pp</i> and << missing in EP due to the unclear markings in <b>A</b> prior to revision (strings part erased, >> miss- ing in Cor. I, III, <i>pp</i> missing in Cor III, << hidden over the slur in Fag. I), given in our edition according to the defini- tive version in <b>A</b> (missing >> and <i>pp</i> in Cor. I, III added by Simrock's editor with			pencil, in Fl. I, Ob., Clar. I, Fag., Cor. III, IV, Vle., Cb. <i>dim.</i> added by Dvořák in thicker ink or by Simrock's editor with lead pencil; $\gg$ struck by Simrock's edi- tor with lead pencil), E/Fl. I, Ob., Clar. I, Fag., Vle., Vc., Cb. and EO/Fl. I, Clar. I, Fag., Vle., Cb. (in EO/Ob. $\gg$ as in A prior to revision).
165	Solo	lead pencil), E, EO/Fl., Fag., Cor. I, III. (>> in Clar. II missing in all sources). <i>dim.</i> begins in E between note 2 and note 3, in <b>A</b> from note 5. We follow <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> and set <i>dim.</i> from note 4 corresponding	170, 172	Solo	Differing from the same passage in mm. 31, 33, note 1 in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> is not beamed to note 2. We retain the incon- sistent notation of the sources, since the different notation in the reprise could be
166–8	Pf.	to the descending line of the figuration. In <b>EP</b> , <i>pp</i> (from the accompaniment in Cor.), $\checkmark$ until bar end in m. 166, $>$ in m. 167 (due to a misreading of the $>$ slightly over the bar line of m. 166 in <b>A</b> /Ob. I) and <b>f</b> <sup><i>x</i></sup> in m. 168 (from Ob., Clar. as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision), our	173–4	Pf.	intentional. In <b>EP</b> , slurs in the inner voices missing (in the second case due to lack of space), but evidently implied by the slurs in the outer voices. We give the slur in our edition on basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vle., Viol. I.
		edition follows the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> : $pp$ in l.h. and $p$ in r.h. in order to differ between melody (Ob. I, Clar. I)	176	Solo	<i>pp</i> in E between note 2 and 3 as in A, but Dvořák probably intended it under note 3 as in ES, EP and in our edition.
		and accompaniment (Cor.), $\leq$ $>$ both in m. 166 as evidently intended in A/Ob. I	176	l.h.	In <b>EP</b> , slur in the inner voice missing, we add it according to <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vle.
		and then given in the definitive version in <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. I, <b>fp</b> in m. 168 as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (in Ob.,	177	r.h.	In <b>EP</b> , <i>a tempo</i> ; in our edition, <i>in tempo</i> as in all other sources and on basis of <b>EP</b> , mm. 97, 107.
		Clar., <i>z</i> of <i>fz</i> overwrittten with <i>p</i> by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/ Ob., Clar.	178–9	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $\leq$ extended until end of m. 179 from Cor. I, II as given in <b>A</b> prior to revi- sion (still decipherable in the erased part
167	Pf.	In EP, slurs in the inner voices missing, added in our edition as given in A, E, EO/Clar.			of the $\leq$ in Cor. I, II); in our edition, $\leq$ and <i>cresc</i> . as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( <i>cresc</i> . added in Cor. I, II
168	Solo	begins in <b>A</b> at note 9–10 ca, in <b>E</b> at note 7, in <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> at note 8. We follow <b>A</b> :			under the erased $\sim$ by Dvořák), E, EO/ Cor. I, II.

181	Pf.	<i>dim.</i> missing in <b>EP</b> , given in our edition as in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Cor. I, II.
182–3	l.h.	Ties missing in <b>EP</b> as in the version be- fore revision in <b>A</b> /Vc., Cb. (still decipher- able under the definitive version), added in our edition according to the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vc., Cb. and on basis of <b>EP</b> , r.h.
183	Solo	<i>morendo</i> begins in <b>E</b> , <b>EP</b> at note 3. We follow <b>A</b> , <b>ES</b> .
186	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , <i>ppp</i> under l.h., not accepted in our edition since redundant after the same marking in m. 184.

#### [III] Finale. Allegro giocoso ma non troppo

1	-	In <b>A</b> , tempo <i>Allegro molto</i> struck and
		substituted with Presto by Dvořák. In E,
		ES, EP definitive tempo Allegro giocoso
		<i>ma non troppo</i> (in <b>EO</b> the definitive tempo
		only in <b>EO</b> /Viol. I, II, Vc., Cb.; in <b>EO</b> /Vle.
		-
		mistakenly Allegro grazioso ma non troppo,
		in all other instruments of <b>EO</b> only the
		incomplete tempo Allegro giocoso).
7	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $>$ on note 1, not accepted in our
		edition on basis of EP, mm. 17, 41 and
		according to A, E, EO/Viol. I, II.
10	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , levelling of the markings in <b>A</b> /
		Cor. I ( $f$ and staccato), Viol. I, II ( $f$ and
		staccato), Vle. ( $f$ and pizzicato) to > at
		note 2; in our edition, $f^{a}$ as given in <b>A</b> ,
		E, EO/Viol. I, II and on basis of Solo, and
		staccato dots as given in A, E, EO/Cor. I,
		Viol. I, II and on basis of pizzicato in <b>A</b> ,
		E, EO/Vle. as well as on basis of Solo.
11	Solo	Unclear separation of $m{f}$ and $m{p}$ in A: $m{f}$
		under note 1 and $p$ under note 2, but
		so close to each other that the marking
		could be interpreted as <b>fp</b> . We separate
		f and $p$ according to E, ES, EP and on
		basis of m. 35, where $f$ and $p$ are clearly
		separated in <b>A</b> and in all the other
		sources. In Viol. I, II however <i>fp</i> without
		separation of $f$ and $p$ as in all sources.
11	r.h.	In <b>EP</b> , $>$ on note 1, not accepted in our
11	1.11.	-
		edition on basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I, II
- 4	0.1	and since redundant over $fp$ .
54	Solo	Staccato dot on note 1 missing in E,
		probably overlooked by the printer. We
		add the staccato dot as given in <b>A</b> , <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> .
63	Pf.	pp in EP at note 1 probably due to the
		unclear position of the marking in A/Vc.
		in the middle of bar; in our edition $pp$ at
		note 2 as evidently intended by Dvořák
		in A/Fl., Cor. I, II, Vc. and according to E,
		EO/Fl., Cor. I, II, Vc.
64–6	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $\leq$ over the three bars from Clar.
<b></b>		as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision; in our
		as siter in a prior to revision, in our

edition, p (m. 64) mf (m. 65) f (m. 66) as in the definitive version in A (p in Clar. added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, *cresc*. in Ob. and  $\sim$  in Clar. struck and replaced with mf by Simrock's editor with lead pencil on basis of the same dynamics in Viol. II, Vle., f in Ob. and Clar. added by Dvořák in thinner ink, ffin Cor. I, II corrected to f by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Fl., Ob., Clar., Cor. I, II, Viol. I, II, Vle.

in A, E to note 2, but in A evidently intended by Dvořák to end of bar as given in ES, EP.

66

67

67-73

73-4,

77-8

82, 84, 90, r.h.

92, 98, 100,

499, 501, 507,

509, 515, 517

Solo

Pf.

r.h.

Pf

In EP, *f* at note 1 as given in A/Cor. III, IV, Trba., Timp.; in our edition, *ff* as given in the strings part corresponding to the piano part in A, E, EO. In EP:

from Viol. I, II as given in **A** prior to revision (without the upper octave in Viol. I, added later by Dvořák in thinner ink); our edition follows the definitive version in **A**, **E**, **EO**/Viol. I, II (with the upper octave in Viol. I).

In EP, A at note 1 and note 2 in m. 73 and at note 1 in m. 77, >> from note 2 in m. 73 until end m. 74 and from note 2 in m. 77 until end m. 78 due to the inconsistent markings in the version before revision in A/Ob. ( ^ derived from the slightly vertical accent > at note 1 in m. 73 and 77, >>> probably mistakenly derived from of the accent > at note 2 in m. 73) and in A/Strings (^ at note 1 in m. 73, but not in m. 77). We follow the definitive version in A/Ob., Clar., Fag. (definitive editorial revisions in A by Simrock's editor with lead pencil: accent struck in Ob. at note 1 in m. 73 and 77, > added at note 2 in m. 73 and 77 in Clar., Fag., fa added at note 1 in Ob. Clar., Fag. in m. 77), E (^ in A/Strings replaced with fz), EO/Ob., Clar., Fag, Strings: fz instead of ^ at note 1 in m. 73 and 77, > at note 2 in m. 73 and 77 and no >>. > at note 1 in the inner voice missing in EP as in A/Cor. I, Viol. II, Vle. prior to revision (in most cases, accent > added later by Simrock's editor with lead pencil or by Simrock's chief editor Robert Keller with red ink; in m. 82, Vle. ^ by Dvořák struck and replaced with > by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), added in our

85	l.h.	edition according to the definitive ver- sion in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Cor. I, Viol. II, Vle. In <b>EP</b> , beam for the semiquaver at note 1 mistakenly missing.	139–40
93–5, 101	l.h.	In EP, staccato dots on note 2, 3 missing; added in our edition on basis of EP, mm. 85–7 (l.h.) and mm. 93–5, 101 (r.h.).	137-40
107	Pf.	<i>dim.</i> in <b>EP</b> from note 2 due to lack of space, in our edition from note 1 as given in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Strings.	141–2
109	r.h.	> on note 1 missing in EP, given in our edition according to A, E, EO/Viol. I, II and on basis of EP, mm. 103–8, 110.	
111	Pf.	sempre after $p$ in EP not accepted in our edition since absent in A, E and EO, and it appears redundant.	142
127	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $pp$ at note 1; in our edition, $p$ under note 1 in r.h. and $pp$ under note 1 in l.h. in order to maintain the differentiation between melody in Ob. I, Fag. I ( $p$ ) and bass accompaniment in Viol. II, Vle.,	143–6
127–30	r.h.	Vc. ( <i>pp</i> ) as given in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. I, Fag. I, Viol. II, Vle., Vc.	147
127-30	1.11.	In <b>EP</b> , > on note 2 from Ob. as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision (erased > still decipherable in Ob. I, m. 130); in our edition, <i>f</i> <sup>*</sup> under note 2 instead of accent as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. I.	148
131–3	Pf.	$\checkmark$ <i>p</i> missing in <b>EP</b> as in the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Ob. I, Cor. III, added in our edition according to the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( $\checkmark$ added in Ob. I by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, <i>p</i> from Cor. III necessary af-	150 151–2,
133	l.h.	ter $<>>$ ), E, EO/Ob. I, Cor. III. > on note 1 in the lower voice in EP missing due to lack of space, given in our edition according to the definitive version in A, E, EO/Cor. III.	155–6, 159–60, 568–9, 572–3, 576–7,
133–4	Solo	in E between note 1–2 in m. 133 and note 4 in m. 134, in A between note 3 in m. 133 and note 3 in m. 134, in ES between note 1–2 in m. 133 and end of bar in m. 134 (in EP <i>dim.</i> from note 1 in	580–81 153, 157
		<ul> <li>m. 133). In our edition, → from note</li> <li>1 in m. 133 to end m. 134 similarly to</li> <li>ES, assuming Dvořák intended the →</li> <li>over the whole descending figuration in</li> <li>mm. 133–4.</li> </ul>	153–4, 157–8, 161–4
133–4	Pf.	$\leq$ in <b>EP</b> until end m. 133 probably due to levelling of the $\leq$ in <b>A</b> /Fl., Clar.; in our edition, until note 1 in m. 134 as given in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fl., Clar.	161
		Staccato dots to note 2–3 in the lower voice of r.h. in m. 134 missing in <b>EP</b> as in the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Ob. I, given in our edition according to the de-	163, 165

finitive version in **A** (staccato dots added in Ob. I by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), **E**, **EO**/Ob. I.

- 6 Pf. <>> missing in **EP** as in the version before revision in A/Ob. I, given in our edition according to the definitive version in A ( added in Ob. I by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Ob. I. Pf. in EP until end m. 141 due to lack of space, in our edition until note 1 in m. 142 as given in A, E/Fl. I, Ob. (in EO/ Fl. I, Ob. levelling of each  $<\!\!<$  and  $>\!\!>$ over each measure). l.h. Staccato dots in note 2, 3 in the lower voice missing in EP, probably due to lack of space; given in our edition on basis of A, E, EO/Cor. III. In  $EP_{\prime} >$  on note 2 (careless levelling to r.h. mm. 127-30, see the corresponding annotation above), in our edition ^ as in A, E, EO/Clar. I. Pf. missing in EP, added in our edition according to A, E, EO/Clar. I and on basis of EP, mm. 131, 139 (see the corresponding annotations above). Pf. In **EP** $_{\prime}$  > on note 2 in r.h. and note 1 in l.h. due to a careless levelling of Dvořák's notation in A/Clar., Ob. II; we restore the original version with fz for r.h. and p for l.h. as in A, E, EO/Clar., Ob. II. Pf. *p* in **EP** missing (see previous annotation above), added in our edition on basis of A, E, EO/Clar.
- Bowing indications in A very scanty, but Solo evidently intended by Dvořák as given in E, ES, since he notated an up-bow for note 3 in mm. 155, 159, 568, 569, 572, 573, 576, 577. Therefore, in our edition we give the bowing indications according to E, ES. 57 Pf. *f*<sup>z</sup> missing in **EP** as in the version before revision in A/Ob., Clar., Fag.; added in our edition as given in the definitive version in A (fa added by Dvořák in thinner ink), E, EO/Ob., Clar., Fag. Solo Inconsistent accentuation on the first note of the quadruplet in **A** with  $^{,>}$ , fz. We follow the consistent notation of E, ES, EP. Solo In E, ES, EP only *ff* under note 1. We follow A and add fa to ff, since Dvořák evidently intended an accentuation here

with *f*<sup>z</sup> in *ff*.
Pf. *f* missing in **EP** as in the version before revision in **A**/Cor. I, II, Strings; added in our edition as given in the definitive version in **A** (*f* added in Cor. I, II, Strings)

by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Fl., Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II (in EO/ Strings *f* missing as in A prior to revision).

Inconsistent accentuation in **A** with ^, >, *fp*, *fz*. We follow the consistent notation of **E**, **ES**, **EP**.

in r.h. as given in A prior to revision (decipherable in the following transposed part of Ob. and Fag. on page 78 of the autograph score, which had been completely struck by Dvořák in ink and by Simrock's editor with blue crayon). Our edition follows the definitive version in A (subsequently added in Clar. I and Fag. I by Dvořák in thinner ink), E, EO/ Clar. I, Fag. I. Dynamic markings in EP ( $f_{\overline{z}}$  at note 1 and p at note 2) accordingly changed in our edition on basis of the definitive version in A, E, EO/Fl., Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc. 171 Pf. In **EP**, **f** at note 1 from Viol. I, II, Vle. as given in A/Strings prior to revision, we follow the definitive version in A (in Viol. I, II, Vle. already erased p next to f later overwritten by Dvořák with f; in Vc., Cb. mf overwritten by Dvořák with *ff*, in Cor. *p* added to *ff* by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO (p added to **ff** in Viol. I, II, Vle.): **ffp** under note 1 in r.h. (corresponding to Cor., Viol. I, II, Vle.) and *ff* under note 1 in l.h. (corresponding to Vc., Cb.). 171-4 Pf. In **EP**, *cover r.h.* due to lack of space between the staves, set in our edition between the staves, since it refers to all voices in the definitive version in A ( added in Vc., Cb. by Dvořák in darker ink and in Cor. by Simrocks' editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Cor., Strings. 171 - 5l.h. In EP, note 1 in each measure quaver without staccato dot as given in A/Vc., Cb. prior to revision (still decipherable under the definitive version in Vc., Cb. and in the following transposed part of Vc., Cb. on page 78–9 of the autograph score, which had been completly struck by Dvořák in ink and by Simrock's editor with blue crayon); our edition follows the definitive version in A, E/Vc., Cb. (in EO/Vc., Cb. quavers as given in A prior to revision). In m. 175, we leave the first quaver as in EP to allow the combination with the tremolo beginning in the following quaver, but we add > and staccato dot as in the definitive version in **A**, **E**/Vc., Cb.).

^ over note 1 in each measure in **EP** probably due to a misunderstanding of the slightly vertical > in **A**/Cb. (however, one erased ^ is still decipherable under note 1 in **A**/Cb., m. 171, so that the accentuation with ^ in **EP** ultimately derives from the first erased version in **A**/Cb.). We follow the definitive version in **A** (> added in Vc. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil) **E**, **EO**/Vc., Cb.

*cresc.* from note 5 in **A** missing in **E**, **ES**, **EP**, probably presuming that a further increase in dynamics in the passage in *ff* would not be possible or opportune. But Dvořák evidently intended a further increase in dynamics here parallel to the Strings.

given in A prior to revision (decipherable in the following transposed part of Ob. and Fag. on page 79 of the autograph score, which had been completely struck by Dvořák in ink and by Simrock's editor with blue crayon). Our edition follows the definitive version in A (subsequently added in Clar. I and Fag. I by Dvořák in thinner ink), E, EO/Clar. I, Fag. I. Accordingly, in our edition, p at note 2 in EP moved to under note 2 in l. h. on basis of the definitive version in A, E, EO/Viol. I, II, Vle., since in the definitive version it no longer referred to the all voices, but only to the accompaniment in l.h. In EP, cre-scen-do written out as given in A prior to revision (decipherable in the following transposed part of Ob. on page 79 of the autograph score, which had been completly struck by Dvořák in ink and by Simrock's editor with blue crayon); we follow the definitive version in A, E, EO/Strings. In EP:

as given in **A** prior to revision (decipherable in the following transposed part of Ob. and Fag. on page 79 of the autograph score, which had been completley struck by Dvořák in ink and by Simrock's editor with blue crayon); our edition follows the definitive version in **A** (subsequently

167-82

167-70

Solo

Pf.

In EP:

177-8

179-82

Pf.

r.h.

175

Solo

		added in Ob. I and Fag. I by Dvořák in thinner ink), E, EO/Ob. I, Fag. I. Accordingly, <b>f</b> at note 1 (missing in EP as in <b>A</b> prior to revision, decipherable in the following transposed part in			ally marked the quavers with staccato dots in <b>EP</b> in order to emphasise his desire for a colour distinct from that of the slurred dotted crotchets in the piano part.
		crescendo in Ob. and Fag. on page 79 of the autograph score, which had been completely struck by Dvořák in ink and by Simrock's editor with blue crayon) has been added in our edition on basis of	194	Solo	<ul> <li>begins in E between note 1 and note</li> <li>2 as in A, but in A probably intended by</li> <li>Dvořák over the entire bar as in m. 202.</li> <li>Our edition therefore follows ES and</li> <li>extends &gt;&gt; over the entire bar.</li> </ul>
		the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Clar. I, Fag. I.	195–6	Pf.	$<\!\!<$ in <b>EP</b> begins after note 1 in m. 195 and ends at end m. 195 due to lack of
183–7	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , <b>f</b> on note 1 in m. 183, $<<$ from note 1 in m. 184 to end m. 186 and no dashes on the quavers from Woodwinds, Cor. I, II, Trba., Strings as given in <b>A</b>			space; in our edition, $<<$ from note 1 in m. 195 to end m. 196 as clearly given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( $<<$ added under Fag. I and Cb. by Dvořák in darker
		prior to revision; we follow the defini- tive version in ${f A}$ ( <b>f</b> added next to <b>f</b> or	197–8	Pf.	ink), E, EO/Strings. ≫ in EP until end m. 197 from Ob. I
		<i>ff</i> added directly in all instruments by Dvořák in darker ink, $\leq$ struck in Viol. I, II, Vle. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, dashes added in all instruments by Simrock's editor with lead pencil on basis of the dashes in Viol. I, Cb.), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /	177-0		as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision; in our edition, prolonged until m. 198 as clearly given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( $>>$ added under Fag. I and Cb. in thicker ink by Dvořák), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. I, Clar. I, Strings.
		Woodwinds, Cor. I, II, Trba., Strings. <i>ff</i> in <b>EP</b> , m. 187 as consequence of the previous $\leq$ from the version in <b>A</b> prior to revision, not accepted in our edi- tion on basis of the definitive version in	199	Pf.	p missing in EP as in A/Strings prior to revision, added in our edition as clearly given in the definitive version in A ( $p$ added in Strings by Dvořák in darker ink), E, EO/Strings.
187	Pf.	<ul> <li>A, E, EO since redundant after the <i>ff</i> in m. 183.</li> <li>EP gives neither dash on note 1 nor dot</li> </ul>	203–04	Pf.	in EP begins after note 1 in m. 203 and ends at end m. 203 due to lack of space, in our edition from note 1 in
10/	11.	on note 2 as given in <b>A</b> /Woodwinds, Cor. I, II, Trba., Strings prior to revision; we			m. 203 to end m. 204 as clearly given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> .
		add them in our edition as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (dashes added in all instruments by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, dots added in Strings by Dvořák in thicker ink), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Wood- winds, Cor. I, II, Trba., Strings.	205–06	Pf.	>> in <b>EP</b> until end m. 205 as given in <b>A</b> /Ob. I, Fag. I, Strings prior to revision; in our edition, given until end m. 206 as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( $>>$ prolonged until note 1 in m. 206 in Strings by Simrock's editor with lead pencil and
187–90	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $\longrightarrow$ from note 2 in m. 187 to end m. 190; in our edition, <i>dim</i> . from note 1 in m. 188 as clearly set by Dvořák in the			extended until end of bar in the new voice in Ob. I, Fag. I in m. 206) E, EO/ Ob. I, Fag. I, Strings.
		definitive version in <b>A</b> /Strings and then printed in <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Strings.	205-06	r.h.	In <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. II, Vle. <i>e</i> # prolonged until the first quaver in m. 206, similarly
191–206	r.h.	In <b>EP</b> , staccato dot on each quaver from the version in <b>A</b> prior to revision, where Dvořák set staccato dots on the quavers in Viol. I (still decipherable in mm. 191–2)			to the <i>e</i> in <b>EP</b> , mm. 197–8. We follow <b>EP</b> , since evidently deliberate change to set a turning point before the next passage beginning on the upbeat of m. 206.
		and pizzicato for Cb. (pizzicato is mostly expressed in <b>EP</b> by staccato dots, see for example I, 107–10, 185–8 and II, 49); in our edition, no staccato on basis of the	206	r.h.	Upper voice missing in <b>EP</b> as in <b>A</b> /Ob. I, Clar. I prior to revision, added in our edition as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (part of Ob. I added by Dvořák over
		definitive version in <b>A</b> (no staccato dots in Viol. I, m. 193–206, <i>pizz:</i> at Cb. erased by Dvořák), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I, Vc., Cb. It is, however, possible that Dvořák intention-			the erased first version in darker ink, part of Fag. I newly added by Dvořák in darker ink), E, EO/Ob. I, Fag. I. We set the added voice in square brackets
		nowever, possible that Dvolak Intention-			set the added voice in square brackets

since we cannot establish with certainty whether Dvořák would have added it in EP after the revision in A.

207 Pf. *p* at note 1 in m. 207 missing in **EP**, added in our edition as given in A, E, EO/Ob. I, Fag. I, Vc., Cb. and on basis of the corresponding passage in EP, m. 630. Since Dvořák added p in the definitive version next to p in Fl. I, Viol. I, II, Vle., **pp** is also plausible here. We decided on EP, m. 630.

Pf. 207-26

p here on basis of the parallel passage in In EP, higher quavers of the accompaniment notated mostly in the upper system for r.h.; in our edition, all quavers in the lower system with the indication *m.d.* In EP, the pitches of the quavers in the accompaniment under the upper voice do not always correspond exactly to the orchestral part in A/Strings, although this part in EP is clearly taken directly from the definitive version in A/Strings (as also given in E, EO/Strings). Dvořák was very probably aiming here for a slightly varied version of the orchestral part better suited to the piano. Therefore, in our edition, we leave the accompaniment as given in EP. A possible piano reduction of A/Strings closer to the orchestral score would read:



221-2, voice, not accepted in our edition since 225 - 6superfluous. 229 r.h. In **EP**, note 5 in the upper voice mistakenly e" (probably due to the page change in A), in our edition  $g \not\models''$  as in A, E, EO/Fl. I. 230 r.h. In **EP**, *i* missing in note 5 in the upper voice as in the version before revision in

A/Fl. I, given in our edition according to the definitive version in A (\$ added later by Dvořák in a different ink), E, EO/Fl. I and on basis of  $g \nmid in l.h.$ 

- Pf. In **EP**, *C* from note 1 in m. 236 to end m. 238 from the version before revision in A (the erased places where  $<\!\!<$ should have been are still visible in Clar., Viol. I, Cb., the erased *s*, however, no longer decipherable); in our edition, no *c* according to the definitive version in A, E, EO/Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Strings.
- l.h. **pp** missing in **EP**, but evidently implied after pp in m. 247, therefore given in our edition on basis of A, E, EO/Vc., Cb. 248 - 50r.h. In **EP**, notes in the inner voice from **A**/ Viol. II, Vle. slightly changed to make the tremolo better suited to the piano. We follow **EP**, since the change in the tremolo was evidently intentional (the later modification of the tremolo vis-

ible in A/Viol. II, Vle. does not affect his different notation in EP). A still playable reduction for the piano closer to A, E, EO/Viol. II, Vle. could read:



In EP, notes in the inner voice from A/ Viol. II, Vle. slightly altered to make the tremolo better suited to the piano. We follow **EP**, since the change in the tremolo was evidently intentional (the later modification of the tremolo visible in A/Viol. II, Vle. does not affect his different notation in EP). A still playable reduction for the piano closer to in A, E, EO/Viol. II, Vle. could read:

255, 256 Solo

1h

256

236 - 8

248

252-3

r.h.

In A, E first quaver not beamed to the other two. We follow ES, EP also on basis of the same figurations in mm. 247-8, 251-2, 259-60.

*p* missing in EP, given in our edition according to A, E, EO/Vc., Cb. and on basis of the parts of Fag. and Cor. IV added in mm. 259 (Fag.) and 260 (Cor. IV) and marked with  $\boldsymbol{p}$  by Dvořák in darker ink in the definitive version in A, and then carried over in the print version in E, EO/Fag., Cor. IV.

256–7, r.h. In EP, notes in the inner voice from A/
260–61 Viol. II, Vle. slightly altered to make the tremolo better suited to the piano. We follow EP, since the change in the tremolo was evidently intentional (the later modification of the tremolo visible in A/
Viol. II, Vle. does not affect his different notation in EP). A still playable reduction for the piano closer to the version in A, E, EO/Viol. II, Vle. could read:

260	l.h.	cresc. missing in EP, added in our edition
		as given in the definitive version in A, E, EO/Vc., Cb. and on basis of the added $p$
		in m. 256 (meaning a dynamic increase
		in the bass accompaniment from the
		initial $pp$ through $p$ until <i>cresc</i> .).
261	Solo	$\sim$ begins in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> between note 1 and
		note 2. We follow <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> also on basis of
		mm. 249, 253, 257.
263-4	Solo	In E, > on note 2 additional to <b>f</b> z; in A
		> on note 2 in both measures but <b>f</b> <sup>z</sup> miss-
		ing in m. 263. We follow ES: fz without
		redundant > in both measures on basis
		of mm. 227–8.
265-8	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , poco a poco cresc. from m. 266 until
		m. 268 as given in ${f A}$ prior to revision (no
		longer decipherable in the erased mark-
		ings under the definitive version, but
		still visible in the word <i>crescendo</i> over
		the Fl. in m. 266, which was cancelled
		by Simrock's editor with lead pencil); in our edition, <i>cresc</i> . from beat 3 in m. 265
		on basis of the definitive version in <b>A</b> ,
		E/Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Strings. and
		EO/Clar. I, Fag. II, Cor. II, Viol. I, II (in
		EO/Ob., Clar. II, Fag. I, Cor. I, Vle., Vc.,
		Cb. <i>cre-scen-do</i> ).
278	Pf.	f missing in <b>EP</b> as in the version before
		revision in A/Cb., Timp., given in our
		edition as in the definitive version in ${f A}$
		( $m{f}$ added in Cb., Timp. by Simrock's edi-
		tor with lead pencil), E, EO/Cb., Timp.
285	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , <b>fp</b> at note 1 both under r.h. and
		l.h., and missing $m{f}$ under note 2 in r.h.,
		both as given in <b>A</b> /Timp., Vc. prior to re-
		vision; our edition follows the definitive
		version in $\mathbf{A}$ ( $p$ added to $fp$ at note 1 in
		Vc. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil
		as in our l.h., <i>fpp</i> at note 1 in Timp. and
		f or $fz$ or $fp$ at note 1 in tutti erased by
		Dvořák in order to mantain the $f$ in the previous measure as in our r.h., $pp$ at
		note 2 in Timp. erased and replaced
		note = in minp, crubed and replaced

with **f** by Dvořák in darker ink), E, **EO**/Tutti.

Pf. Indication *legato* in EP only, apparently contradicting the indication *non legato* in A, E, EO/Vc., m. 285. The former indication relates to the pianoforte, while the latter relates to string instruments and is evidently an instruction to avoid any kind of legato bowing in Vc.

285, 289

289

323 - 4

- Pf. *pp* in **EP** links to note 1 due to lack of space; in our edition, at the beginning of the *legato* as evidently intended by Dvořák in **EP**, as given in **A**, **E**, **EO**/Viol. I and on basis of **EP**, m. 285.
- 298, 308 Solo In E, staccato dot on note 1 in m. 308 but not in m. 298, in A staccato dot on note 1 in both measures, in ES staccato dot neither in m. 298 nor in m. 308. We follow ES on basis of mm. 10, 20, 44, 334, 451, 483.
- 305, 307 r.h. In EP, > on note 5 (levelling of the accentuation on basis of the > in mm. 290–91, 293, 295, 297–8, 300–1, 303); in our edition, ^ as in A, E, EO/Viol. I., since Dvořák intentionally changed the previous accentuation in Ob. > here to that in Viol. I ^ in accordance with the *cresc*. from m. 305.
- Pf. In **EP**, *C* from m. 305 to end m. 308 as 305 - 08given in A prior to revision (still decipherable in the *cor.* III, IV in mm. 306-308 cancelled by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, in the erasure over Vc. in m. 305 and in the *setween Vle.* and Vc. in mm. 307-308 then replaced by the << under Vle. in m. 308); in our edition, cresc. from note 3 in m. 305 and << in m. 308 as in the definitive version in A (<>> in Cor. III, IV cancelled and replaced with cresc. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, cresc. added in Vle., Vc. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Fl., Ob., Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc. 310, 314 r.h.
  - 4 r.h. In EP, > on note 1 (careless levelling of the accentuation with ^ in A/Fl. I, Clar. I, Viol. I, II on basis of the > in mm. 290–91, 293, 295, 297–8, 300–01, 303, 305, 307, see annotation to mm. 305, 307 above); in our edition, ^ as in A (^ in Clar. added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Fl. I, Clar. I, Viol. I, II.
    Pf. In EP, → from m. 323 to end m. 324,

In **EP**,  $\gg$  from m. 323 to end m. 324, probably added to mark the continuation of the *dim*. in m. 321 after the page change in **EP**; not accepted in our edition since missing in **A**, **E**, **EO**/Viol. I and redundant after the *dim*.

328, 330	l.h.	In <b>EP</b> , only a full measure rest; quavers given in our edition in brackets on basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Timp., since it is possible	
		that Dvořák added the part of Timp. in mm. 328 and 330 in <b>A</b> after he completed the piano reduction (however, no dif- ference in the ink in the Timp. can be detected).	363
333	Pf.	>> missing in <b>EP</b> from the version in	
		A/Viol. I, II prior to revision, given in our edition as in the definitive version in A ( $fp$ and $\gg$ added by Simrock's edi- tor with lead pencil, $fp$ over a previous	363
334	Pf.	fp or $fz$ , $>>$ new) E, EO/Viol. I, II. Staccato dot at note 2 missing in EP as	
		in the version before revision in <b>A</b> , added in our edition as given in the de- finitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dot added either by Dvořák in brighter ink or by Simrock's editor with lead pencil in all instruments except for Vle., Vc., Cb.), <b>E</b> ,	364, 368
		<b>EO</b> /Tutti (staccato dot added in <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> also in Vle., Vc., Cb.).	364–70
341, 343, 345, 347	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $\land$ on note 1 from Cb. as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision, probably also due to a misunderstanding of the first slightly	371
		vertical > in <b>A</b> /Viol. I, II, Vle. or due to a levelling of the accents to the ^ in <b>EP</b> , mm. 337, 339; we follow the defini- tive version in <b>A</b> (^ in Cb. struck and replaced with > by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, > inserted by Simrock's	
349	Pf.	editor with lead pencil in most instru- ments), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Tutti. <i>dim</i> . in <b>EP</b> from note 1 as given in <b>A</b> /	372, 376
547	11.	Viol. I prior to revision, in our edition from note 2 as given in the definitive version in $\mathbf{A}$ ( <i>dimin</i> in Viol. II from note	
		2 added later by Dvořák in thinner ink, <i>dimin.</i> in Vle., Vc. added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E/Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc. and EO/Viol. I, II, Vle. (in EO/Vc.	379
251 0	Pf.	<i>dimin.</i> from note 1 as in <b>A</b> prior to revision).	384
351–2	Γ1.	missing in EP as in A/Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc. prior to revision, added in our edition as given in the definitive version in A (>> inserted in Viol. I by Dvořák in thinner ink and in Viol. II, Vle., Vc. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E/	
		Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc. and EO/Viol. I, II, Vle. (in EO/Vc. $\longrightarrow$ missing as in A prior to revision).	
353	Pf.	<i>pp</i> in <b>EP</b> at note 1, probably due to a misunderstanding of its position in <b>A</b> (slightly right to note 2); in our edition at note 2 as evidently intended by Dyořák	
		note 2 as evidently intended by Dvořák	

**pp** at note 1). In **E** over the indication *L'istesso tempo* ( = ) further specification: "(NB. Ein 2/4 Takt gleich zwei früheren 3/8 Takten.)" ["(NB: A 2/4 measure is equal to two previous 3/8 measures.)"]. Similar specification in ES, EP. We follow A, EO and leave out the further specification as redundant addition to the original tempo in A. Pf. In **EP**, *mf* at note 1 from the Solo; in our edition, *p* as in all orchestral instruments in the definitive version in A, E, EO/ Ob. I, Fag. I, Vle., Vc. 58 r.h. Note 4 in the lower voice missing in EP, added in our edition in square brackets from A, E, EO/Fag. I and on basis of the same note in EP, mm. 363, 367, lower voice. l.h. Staccato dots in EP missing, but evidently intended after the staccato dots in m. 363, therefore added in our edition on basis of EP, m. 363 and mm. 379-85. Pf. In **EP**, *pp* at note 1 as given in **A**/Clar. prior to revision (p missing here); in our edition, p under note 1 in r.h. and pp under note 1 in l.h. according to the definitive version in **A** (*p* added in Clar. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Clar. (p), Strings (pp). Tenuto marks on note 4, 5 missing in EP r.h. as in the version before revision in A/ Clar. (tenuto mistakenly at note 2, 3 instead of note 4, 5 in m. 372 and missing in m. 376), given in our edition according to the definitive print version in E, EO/Clar. Pf. In EP, p missing, given in our edition according to the definitive version in A (Vc. part added by Dvořák in brighter ink), E, EO/Vc. Solo In A, E, ES, EP, only one slur from

in **A** and as then given in **E**/Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc. and **EO**/Viol. I, II, Vc. (in **EO**/Vc.

note 1 to note 5. However, the editor of **A** divided a similar slur in m. 381 into two slurs: from note 1 to note 2 and from note 3 to note 4, and this correction has been taken over by all sources. What is more, with a single slur in m. 384 as in **A**, **E**, **ES**, **EP** the bowing of the Solo ends in m. 387 in the up-bow instead of the expected down-bow. Therefore, we divide the slur in m. 384 into two slurs from note 1 to note 3 and from note 4 to note 5 contrary to all sources but on basis of the editorial correction in m. 381 and of all other measures of the passage

384–5	Pf.	with the same figuration (mm. 364, 368, 380, 382). In <b>EP</b> , $\leq$ in m. 385 from Vc. as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision; in our edition, $\leq$ from m. 384 until end m. 385 as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( $\leq$ under Vc. in m. 385 struck and replaced by $\leq$ over	401
385	Solo	Vc. in mm. 384–5), E, EO/Vc. in E to note 5 of Vc. ca. We follow A, ES, EO and extend the $\checkmark$ to end of bar as evidently intended by Dvořák in A.	
386	l.h.	<ul> <li>^ on note 4 in EP due to a careless levelling of the accentuation in l.h., not accepted in our edition on basis of A, E, EO/Vc. (absence of ^ on beat 2 evidently intended by Dvořák).</li> </ul>	403
387–8	r.h.	In <b>EP</b> , one slur in the upper voice over both bars, in our edition two slurs as in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I. and on basis of <b>EP</b> , mm. 391–2.	404
394	Solo	Numeral 0 for open string on note 4 (upper voice) missing in <b>ES</b> , but present in	406
394	l.h.	<ul> <li>A, E. Note 1–4 in ES, EP:</li> <li>A, E.</li> <li>A on note 3–4 in EP due to a careless levelling with m. 386 (see corresponding annotation above), not accepted in</li> </ul>	
395, 399	l.h.	our edition on basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Cor. IV (no accentuation evidently intended by Dvořák). In <b>EP</b> , $p$ after $f$ <sup>z</sup> missing as in the ver-	408
		sion before revision in A/Cb.; added in our edition according to the definitive version in A ( $p$ added to $f$ in Cb. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E,	
396	r.h.	EO/Cb. In EP, ^ on note 1–3 due to a careless levelling with note 1 in m. 395 and encouraged by the slightly vertical > in	
399	r.h.	A/Vle., Vc.; in our edition, $>$ as evidently intended by Dvořák in A, E, EO/Vle., Vc. In EP, $>$ and $f$ at note 1 due to a levelling of the inconsistent markings in the ver-	408, 410
		sion before revision in <b>A</b> /Vle., Vc. ( <i>f</i> <sup>z</sup> under Vle. and <i>f</i> under Vc.); in our edition, <i>f</i> <sup>z</sup> without accent as in the consistent markings in the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( <i>z</i> added to <i>f</i> in Vc. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, > redundant over <i>fz</i> ), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vle., Vc.	410 411
400	r.h.	Dashes on note 1, 2 in $A/Vla.$ , Vc. stand- ardized in E, EO, EP to >, probably on basis of the same notation m. 396. We restore the original notation of $A$ , since Dvořák clearly wrote dashes here in	

order to vary the markings in the repetition of the theme (thus, the last note in m. 401 is accented with > instead of f as in m. 396).

- r.h. In EP, *f*<sup>z</sup> under note 5 as given in the version before revision in A/Vc. (here both *f*<sup>z</sup> and > given), but missing > to avoid redundance over *f*<sup>z</sup>; in our edition, > instead of *f*<sup>z</sup> as given in the definitive version in A (*f*<sup>z</sup> in Vc. struck by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, > already present in both Vle. and Vc.), E, EO/Vle., Vc.
- Pf. In **EP**, *pp* at note 1; in our edition, *p* under note 1 in r.h. and *pp* under note 1 in l.h. in order to differ between melody and accompaniment on basis of **A**, **E**, **EO**/Ob. (*p*), Vc., Cb. (*pp*).
  - Solo Note 1–4 beamed together in all sources. We beam note 1–2 and note 3–4 separately on basis of the same figurations in mm. 395–405.
    - Pf. In EP, mf at note 2 missing and > on note 2 in r.h., both from Vc., Cb. as given in A prior to revision; our edition follows the definitive version in A (> over Vc. struck and mf between Vc. and Cb. added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/ Vc., Cb.
- 1.h. In EP, note 3 *D* instead of *D*♭, probably from Vc., Cb. as given in A prior to revision (no longer decipherable under the definitive version); we follow the definitive version in A (written by Dvořák in thicker and darker ink over the first erased version in Vc., Cb. and confirmed by Simrock's editor with lead pencil in the empty system under Cb.), E, EO/Vc., Cb., since Dvořák unequivocally noted *D*♭ in both Vc. and Cb., as if he intended an exchange or overlap of major and minor modes here.
  08, 409, r.h. ^ on note 1 missing in EP (in mm, 409, 100)
  - r.h. ^ on note 1 missing in EP (in mm. 409, 410 due to lack of space), given in our edition on basis of A, E, EO/Clar., Fag.
    l.h. > on note 2 missing in EP, given in our edition on basis of the definitive version in A, E, EO/Vc., Cb. and on basis of EP, note 1.
  - 1.h. In EP, > on note 1 and f<sup>∞</sup> under note 2 missing, and > on note 2 from the version before revision in A/Vc., Cb. (> on note 1 probably overlooked, f<sup>∞</sup> in Vle. added later by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, > on note 2 still decipherable in the erased version in Vc., Cb.); we follow the definitive version in A, E, EO/Vc., Cb.

412	l.h.	In <b>EP</b> , $f^{*}$ under note 2 missing, and $\wedge$ on note 1, 2 from the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Cb. ( $\wedge$ on note 1 erased by Dvořák, slightly vertical $>$ on note 2 struck by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, $f^{*}$ under note 2 probably overlooked); we follow the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vc., Cb.			from <b>A</b> prior to revision (missing dots on note 3, 4 in Ob., m. 422, on note 2 in Ob., m. 423 and on note 3, 4 in Fl., m. 426), added in our edition as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (missing staccato dots added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil in mm. 422, 423, 426), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Fl., Clar. (in <b>EO</b> , however, some staccato
414, 416	l.h.	In EP: , probably due to the unclear notation in A/Cb., where the slur ends in m. 414 slightly over the second note and in m. 416 at the third note of the quadru- plet; the two staccato dots on note 3 and 4, however, make evident that Dvořák intended the slur to cover only the first two note of the quadruplet here, as then	425	Pf.	dots missing). In <b>EP</b> , <i>poco a poco cresc.</i> from note 1 due to a careless shift of the marking in <b>A</b> /Cb. from the middle to the beginning of the bar; in our edition <i>poco a poco cre-</i> <i>scendo</i> without abbreviation from beat 2 as given in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> /Vc., Cb. (in <b>EO</b> /Vc., Cb. beginning between beat 1 and 2).
415, 417	Pf.	printed in E, EO/Vc., Cb. In EP, short $>$ (similar to >) under note 1 in r.h. and $\land$ under note 1 in l.h. The $>$ in r.h. is probably due to a misinterpretation of the > in A/Cb. in m. 415, notated slightly longer than usual by Dvořák, so that it could be confused with a $>$ ; the $\land$ in l.h. is derived from	426-32	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , staccato dots missing in mm. 426–7 on all quavers in l.h. and in mm. 428–32 on all quavers in r.h. and l.h. from the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Cb. (missing dots added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), given in our edition according to the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vc., Cb. and on basis of <b>EP</b> , m. 425.
		a careless levelling of the accentuation in the version before revision in A/Cb., m. 417 ( ^ struck and replaced with > by Simrock's editor with lead pencil) in m. 415. In our edition, therefore, only > under note 1 in l.h. according to the definitive version in A, E, EO/ Vc., Cb.	428	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , <i>f</i> at note 1 probably mistakenly from the version before revision in the solo violin line of <b>A</b> ( <i>f</i> under the solo violin line, not accepted in the definitive print version in <b>E</b> , <b>ES</b> ). We omit <i>f</i> in our edition on basis of the definitive version in <b>A</b> (in Vc., Cb. <i>-endo</i> from <i>poco a poco</i> <i>crescendo</i> corresponds with <i>f</i> in Solo, so
418	Solo	In <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> no beam between note 6 and 7. We beam note 5–8 together as given in <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> and on basis of the similar figura- tions in mm 420, 422, 424	430	Solo	that <b>f</b> it is not possible here), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vc., Cb. and <b>E</b> , <b>ES</b> /Solo. Note 1–4 beamed together in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> . We
419	r.h.	tions in mm. 420, 422, 424. Staccato dots on note 4, 5 missing in <b>EP</b> as in the version before revision in <b>A</b> / Ob., given in our edition according to			beam note 1–2 and note 3–4 separately as given in <b>ES</b> , <b>EP</b> and on basis of the same figurations in mm. 430 (note 5–8) and 431.
419, 421	r.h.	the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots added in Ob. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. Staccato dot on note 1 in <b>EP</b> from Ob.	438–41	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $\leq$ from note 2 in m. 438 to end m. 439 from Cb. as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision, and <i>sempre cresc</i> . from note 3 in m. 440 as expression of the problem-
		as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision, m. 419, then extended for consistency to m. 421 (where no staccato dot on note 1 is pre- sent); we do not accept the staccato dots in our edition on basis of the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dot in Ob., m. 419 struck by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob.			atic double $<\!\!<$ in mm. 440 and 441 in Strings as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision (repetition of $<\!\!<$ here due to page change); in our edition, $<\!\!<$ only from note 3 in m. 440 until end m. 441 as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (first $<\!\!<$ in Cb., mm. 438–9 struck by Simrock's editor with lead pencil, $<\!\!<$ from note 3
421	Solo	In <b>E</b> , <b>ES</b> , mistakenly fingering 2 for the upper semiquaver of the double stop in note 5, corrected to 1 in our edition.			in m. 440 until end m. 441 added over Viol. I and under Cb. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Strings (be-
422, 423, 425–7	r.h.	Staccato dots on note 3, 4 in m. 422 on note 2 in mm. 423, 425–7 missing in <b>EP</b>			ginning of $<\!\!<$ in E, EO however not always consistent due to lack of space).

440	r.h.	In <b>EP</b> , note 6 mistakently <b>#</b> instead of <b>\</b> , corrected in our edition on basis of all			and in Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. III, IV with lead pencil by Sirmrock's editor), E/Ob.,
		other sources.			Clar., Fag., Cor. III, IV.
440-41	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $>$ on note 1–8 in mm. 440–41 in r.h. and on note 2–8 in l.h. in m. 441 due to a careless extension of the $>$ on note 2–4 to all semiquavers in mm. 440–41; in	495	l.h.	Staccato dots on note 2, 3 missing in <b>EP</b> due to lack of space, added in our edition according to <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob. I and on basis of <b>EP</b> , m. 491.
		our edition, only > on note 2–4 in m. 440	498, 500,	l.h.	In EP, slur from note 1 to note 2 and
461	r.h.	in r.h. as given in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I, II. Staccato dot on note 2 missing in <b>EP</b> as in the version before revision in <b>A</b> / Viol. I, II; added in our edition as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (dots in Viol. I, II added by Dvořák in brighter ink), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Clar., Viol. I, II.	506, 508, 514, 516		staccato dots on note 3 and note 4; in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fag., Vc., Cb. slur from note 1 to note 3 and staccato dot on note 4 in mm. 498, 500, 506, 508, slur from note 1 to note 3 and staccato dots on note 3–4 in mm. 514, 516. Since the slurring and staccato in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> has been consist-
464	l.h.	<ul> <li>^ on note 1 in EP from Cb. as given in</li> <li>A prior to revision, not accepted in our edition on basis of the definitive version in A (^ struck in Cb. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil) E, EO/Cb.</li> </ul>			ently modified in <b>EP</b> in all occurrencies of the figuration, we presume here an intentional change in the markings of the figuration in order to make the passage more comfortable for the piano. There-
472	Pf.	$\sim$ in <b>EP</b> from note 2 as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision, in our edition from note 1 as in the definitive version in <b>A</b>			fore, we leave the marking of <b>EP</b> , even if different from the orchestral version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> .
		( from note 1 added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil over Fl. and Viol. I for all instruments), E/Tutti (in EO, beginning of  mostly as in A prior to	502, 503, 504, 510, 511, 512	r.h.	In <b>EP</b> , staccato dots on note 2, 3 missing, added in our edition according to the definitive version in <b>A</b> (dots added in Vle. in mm. 502, 503, 504, in Viol. II,
474–6	Pf.	revision). In E <b>P</b> , <i>dimin</i> . after <i>fp</i> in m. 474 and <i>p</i> at the beginning of m. 476 from Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc. as given in <b>A</b> prior to revision			Vle. in mm. 510, 511 by Simrock's editor with lead pencil and in Viol. I, II, Vle. by Dvořák on the new page 109 of <b>A</b> ), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I, II, Vle.
		(erased <i>dimin</i> . still decipherable in m. 475 under Viol. II, $p$ in m. 476 in Viol. II, Vle., Vc.); in our edition, $\rightarrow$ in m. 474 and $pp$ at note 1 in m. 475 as given in the defini- tive version in <b>A</b> ( $\rightarrow$ added in Viol. II in m. 474 and $pp$ added twice over and	512	l.h.	In <b>EP</b> , staccato dots on note 2–3 miss- ing as in the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Cb.; added in our edition on basis of the definitive version in <b>A</b> (staccato dots added in Cb. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Vc., Cb.
		under Viol. II in m. 475 instead of <i>dim</i> . by Dvořák in darker ink, in m. 476 then $p$ added to $p$ in Viol. I, Vle., Vc. by Dvořák with darker ink), E ( $pp$ in m. 476	514, 516	l.h.	missing in EP, given in our edition on basis of the definitive version in A (in the new page 109 of the autograph score), E, EO/Vc., Cb.
		omitted since redundant after the $pp$ in m 475), EO/Viol. I, Viol. II, Vle., Vc. (in EO/Viol. II, <i>dimin.</i> instead of $>$ in m. 474).	520–25	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $\geq$ from note 1 in m. 520 to note 1 in m. 525 as given in <b>A</b> /Viol. I, II, Vle. prior to revision, and no <i>dim</i> . in m. 524; we follow the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> /
480-83	l.h.	In <b>EP</b> , staccato dots missing on all quavers, given in our edition on basis of <b>EP</b> , mm. 476, 478, where the pizzicato in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I, Vle., Vc. is expressed in the piano part with staccato dots.			Viol. I, II, Vle. and EO/Viol. I, Vle. (in EO/Viol. II additional <i>dim.</i> in m. 521 and → from m. 522): → from note 1 in m. 521 to end m. 523 (as corrected in <b>A</b> by Simrock's editor with lead pencil) and
490–91, 494–5	Pf.	$\rightarrow$ in EP from $f_{a}$ to bar end in m. 490 and from note 1 to bar end in m. 495 due			<i>dim.</i> in m. 524 (added later by Dvořák in the same ink).
		to lack of space under $\rightarrow$ ; in our edi- tion from note 2 in mm. 490/494 to note 1 in mm. 491/495 as given in the definitive	532	l.h.	$\mathfrak{B}$ in <b>EP</b> between note 1 and 2 due to lack of space under note 1.
		in mm. 491/495 as given in the definitive version in A ( $>>$ added in Ob., Clar. in mm. 490–91 in thinner ink by Dvořák	548, 556	Solo	begins in E at note 2 in m. 548 and at note 1 in m. 556. We follow A: begins in both m. 548 and m. 556

		at note 2. In ES, EP, $\leq$ begins in both
548–51	Pf.	measures at note 1. In <b>EP</b> , $\checkmark$ begins at note 1 in m. 548 (carelessy extended to the beginning of the measure, but evidently intended by Dvořák to begin at beat 2 in <b>A</b> /Ob. II, Clar., Fag. I, Cor. III, IV) and $>$ begins at note 3 in m. 550 (due to lack of space); we follow <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> /Ob. II, Clar., Fag. I, Cor. III, IV. (in <b>EO</b> , inconsistent position of $<$ and $>$ partly due to lack of space).
550–51, 558–9	Solo	→ begins in E between note 1 and note 2 in m. 550 and at note 1 in m. 558. We follow <b>A</b> , <b>ES</b> : → in both measures from note 1 (in <b>A</b> , E/Clar., m. 551 unclear end of →, but evidently intended to extend to end of mm. 551 and 559 as in E/Clar., m. 559, <b>ES</b> ).
556–9	Pf.	In EP, $\checkmark$ begins at note 1 in m. 556 (carelessy extended to the begin of the measure, but evidently intended by Dvořák to begin at beat 2 in A/Clar., Fag.) and ends at note 2 in m. 557 (due to lack of space), $\rightarrow$ begins at note 2 in m. 558 as in A/Clar., Fag. (but evidently in- tended by Dvořák to begin at beat 1); we follow A, E/Clar., Fag. and EO/Clar. II, Fag. I (in EO/Clar. I, Fag. II inconsistent
561–3	Pf.	beginning of $\checkmark$ ). In EP, $\checkmark$ in m. 561 over r.h. due to lack of space and no $\rightarrow$ in mm. 562–3 from the version before revision in A/Ob. (small $\rightarrow$ between mm. 562 and 563 easy to overlook, therefore struck and replaced underneath by Simrock's editor with lead pencil); in our edition as in the definitive version in A, E, EO/Ob.
566	Solo	
567	Pf.	Staccato dot on note 3 missing in <b>EP</b> and <b>A</b> , given in our edition according to the definitive print version in <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Ob., Clar., Fag.
576–7	Pf.	Staccato dots on note 2 in m. 576 and on note 1–3 in m. 577 missing in <b>EP</b> as partly in <b>A</b> /Ob., Fag. prior to revision (dots missing in Ob., m. 576, and in Fag., mm. 576–7 then inserted by Dvořák in thicker ink or by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), added in our edition as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> / Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. III. and on basis of <b>EP</b> , mm. 568–70 and 572–3.

580-81 Pf. and staccato dots on all quavers missing in **EP** as in the version before revision in A/Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. III, added in our edition as given in the definitive version in A (*set added by* Dvořák in thinner ink, staccato dots added in all voices by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. III.

In EP, l.h., note 1 in m. 581 mistakenly crotchet (without staccato dot); in our edition, two staccato quavers beamed to note 3 as in A, E, EO/Fag., Cor. III. and also on basis of the same passage in mm. 569, 573, 577. It is, however, possible that Dvořák intended a variation of the original figuration here, though this is less probable, since in this variation it is more difficult to play the  $<\!\!<$  on the piano.

594 - 8Pf.

598

Pf.

In EP, l.h.:  $\underbrace{\mathfrak{P}^{\sharp}}_{\mathfrak{P}} \underbrace{\mathfrak{P}^{\dagger}}_{\mathfrak{P}} \underbrace{\mathfrak{P}} \underbrace{\mathfrak{P}^{\dagger}}_{\mathfrak{P}} \underbrace{\mathfrak{P}^{\dagger}}_{\mathfrak{P}} \underbrace{\mathfrak{P}^{\dagger}}_{\mathfrak{P}} \underbrace{\mathfrak{P}^{\dagger}}_{\mathfrak{P}} \underbrace{\mathfrak{P}} \underbrace{\mathfrak{P}}$ 

- from Cb. as given in A prior to revision (erased under the definitive version); we follow the definitive version in A/Vc., Cb. (crotchets with > instead of quavers and ff in l.h.) and A/Viol. II, Vle. (ff in r.h.). However, we add p to the  $f\!f$  in r.h., the staccato dots in l.h. and the <<> according to the definitive printed version in E/ Strings, EO/Viol. II., Vle. (in EO/Vc., Cb. version in A prior to revision). In m. 598, we leave the first quaver as in EP to allow the combination with the tremolo beginning in the next quaver, but we add > and staccato dot as in the definitive version in A, E/Vc., Cb.
- In EP, fp on note 1 missing as in the version before revision in A/Clar., Fag., Viol. II, Vle., added in our edition as in the definitive version in A (fp added in Clar., Fag., Viol. II, Vle. by Dvořák in lighter ink), E, EO/Clar., Fag., Viol. II, Vle.
- 600-01 Pf. *ff*, *cresc.* and > on all quavers missing in EP as in A/Clar., Fag., Viol. II, Vle., Vc., Cb. prior to revision, added in our edition as given in the definitive version in A (ff and cresc. in Viol. II, Vle. added by Dvořák in darker ink, cresc. in Clar., Fag. and > in Cb. added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Clar., Fag., Viol. II, Vle., Vc., Cb. 603-05 Pf.

in EP from beat 3 in m. 603 (due) to lack of space) to beat 2 in m. 605, but evidently intended in A/Viol. II, Vle. to

cover all three measures (as indicated by Simrock's editor with lead pencil). We follow therefore **A**, **E**, **EO**/Viol. II, Vle. In l.h., staccato dot on the quaver in m. 605 missing in **EP** as in the version before revision in **A**/Vc.; added in our edition as given in the definitive version in **A** (staccato dot added in Vc. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), **E**, **EO**/ Vc., Cb.

*f* at note 1 in EP from Strings as given in A prior to revision, *ff* in our edition as given in the definitive version in A
(*f* replaced with *ff* by Dvořák in darker ink), E/Strings, EO/Viol. I, Vle., Vc., Cb. (in EO/Viol. II *f* as in A prior to

In EP, >> until note 1 in m. 613 and p at note 2 in m. 613; in our edition, >> until end m. 613 and p at note 1 in m. 614 as clearly given in A, E, EO/Tutti.

606 Pf.

613–14

Pf.

(14. 20)

614–29 Pf. In **EP**, staccato dots missing on all quavers except for the upper voice in mm. 620 and 628 as in **A**/Viol. I, Cb. prior to revision; we add all staccato dots as given in the definitive version in **A** (staccato dots in Viol. I and Cb. added by Dvořák in thinner ink on most of the quavers and by Simrock's editor with lead pencil on the rest of the quavers), **E**, **EO**/Viol. I, Cb.

revision).

620, 628 r.h. In EP, staccato dots on note 1, 2 in the upper voice, in the corresponding passage in A, E, EO/Ob. slur. We follow EP and do not slur the notes since the staccato dots are present in A, E, EO/Cor. I.
625 r.h. In EP, e in note 1 missing, given in our edition in square brackets on basis of the

parallel passage m. 617. Pf. In EP, higher quavers of the accompani-630-57 ment notated mostly in the upper system for r.h., in our edition all quavers in the lower system with the indication *m.d.* In EP, the pitches of the quavers in the accompaniment under the upper voice do not always correspond exactly to the orchestral part in A/Strings, although this part in **EP** is clearly taken directly from the definitive version in A/Strings (as also given in E, EO/Strings). Dvořák very probably aimed here for a slightly varied version of the orchestral part better suited to the piano. In our edition, we therefore leave the accompaniment as given in EP. A possible piano reduction of A/Strings closer to the orchestral score would read:



fore note 1 in A and therefore evidently

intended by Dvořák to the end of m. 633

and 625. In our edition, >>> therefore to

end of m. 633 also on basis of mm. 617, 625.

as in the parallel passages in mm. 617

In A, E, EO/Cor. I, g# as dotted qua-

ver. Since Dvořák very probably aimed

here for a slightly varied version from

the orchestral part (see annotation to

mm. 630-57 above), we leave the passage

as given in EP in our edition. A version

closer to the orchestral part is, however,

begins in A, E, ES, EP at note 1.

In EP, cresc. instead of cre-scen-do due

to lack of space. The marking begins

In our edition,  $\leq$  begins at note 2 on

also possible here.

basis of mm. 631 and 655.

635

639

642 - 7

r.h.

Solo

Pf.

		in m. 643 instead of m. 642 due to the unclear position of the syllabe <i>cre-</i> of <i>cre-scen-do</i> in <b>A</b> /Solo, Viol. I, Cb., which reaches slightly over the end bar of m. 642. But <i>cre-</i> in <b>A</b> is evidently intended to begin in m. 642 as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( <i>cre-scen-do</i> added			represent the definitive printed version authorized by Dvořák, but also because <b>f</b> here is more coherent with all other instruments of the orchestra, which al- ways have <b>f</b> (partly set by Dvořák, partly added by Simrock's editor with lead pencil).
		in Viol. II, Vle., Vc. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil with the syllabe <i>cre</i> - clearly in m. 642). We therefore follow <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Strings: <i>cre-scen-do</i> written out over mm. 642–7 and beginning from note 1 in m. 642 on basis of m. 650 in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> /Vc., Cb.	690	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , $p$ at note 1 and > on note 1 in r.h. from Viol. I as given in <b>A</b> prior to revi- sion; we do not accept > and add $p$ to $p$ according to the definitive version in <b>A</b> (in Viol. I, > struck and $p$ added to $p$ by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /
646–57	Pf.	In EP, staccato dots missing on all qua- vers in the lower voice in r.h. and in l.h., but evidently implied until m. 657 on basis of the previous measures 630–45; therefore given in our edition, also to express the pizzicato in A, E, EO/Strings	690–701	Solo	<ul> <li>Viol. I. We also add <i>f</i> to <i>pp</i> (instead of &gt;) as given in A, E, EO/Fag.</li> <li>Text in the main line from A (original version by Dvořák), text in the ossia from E, ES, EP (more comfortable version by Joachim with Dvořák's authorisa-</li> </ul>
648, 656	Pf.	for the piano part as in I, mm. 185–8 and in III, mm. 476, 478, 480–83, 630–45. <i>f</i> missing in <b>EP</b> as in the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Strings, added in our edi- tion on basis of the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( <i>f</i> added in Strings by Simrock's edi- tors with blue crayon in m. 648 and with	693	Pf.	<ul> <li>tion).</li> <li>In EP, &gt; on note 1 in r.h. and no <i>fpp</i> as given in A/Viol. I prior to revision; we do not accept &gt; and add <i>pp</i> according to the definitive version in A (in Viol. I &gt; struck and <i>p</i> added to <i>p</i> by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Viol. I. We</li> </ul>
649	Pf.	lead pencil in m. 656), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Strings. <i>p</i> missing in <b>EP</b> as in the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Strings, added in our edi- tion on basis of the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( <i>p</i> added in Strings by Simrock's edi-	695	l.h.	also add $f$ to $pp$ (instead of >) as given in A, E, EO/Fag. In EP, notes from m. 694 prolonged with ties until end m. 695 as given in A/Fag. prior to revision; in our edition, rest as
650–55	Pf.	tors with blue crayon), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Strings. <i>cre-scen-do</i> missing in <b>EP</b> as in the ver- sion before revision in <b>A</b> /Viol. I, II, Vle., and due to lack of space; added in our			in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (prolonga- tion of m. 694 in m. 695 in Fag. erased and replaced with rest by Dvořák), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fag.
		edition on basis of the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( <i>cre-scen-do</i> added in Viol. I, II, Vle. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Strings.	696	Pf.	<i>pp dim</i> . missing in <b>EP</b> as in <b>A</b> /Viol. I prior to revision, added in our edition as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b> (in Viol. I <i>po</i> [piano] corrected to <i>pp</i> and
651	Solo	begins in A, E at note 1, in our edi- tion at note 2 as given in ES, EP on basis of mm. 631 and 655.			<i>dimin.</i> added by Dvořák in thinner ink, in addition $pp$ inserted to the right of Dvořák's markings by Simrock's editor
659–65	Pf.	In EP, $\checkmark$ from m. 663 to end m. 665 from Strings as given in <b>A</b> prior to revi- sion, in our edition <i>poco a poco cre-scen-do</i> from beat 3 in m. 659 to end m. 665 as in the definitive version in <b>A</b> ( $\checkmark$ in mm. 660–62 and 663–5 struck and replaced with <i>poco a poco cre-scen-do</i> by	699	Solo	with lead pencil to avoid misunderstand- ings) <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I. $\rightarrow$ ends in <b>E</b> shortly after the bar line to m. 699, in <b>A</b> at note 1 of m. 699, in <b>ES</b> and <b>EP</b> missing. We extend $\rightarrow$ to the end of the figuration (note 2) as presum- ably intended by Dvořák in <b>A</b> .
670, 674,	Pf.	Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/ Strings. In EP, $f$ at note 1 in mm. 670, 674, 678,	700	Pf.	<i>poco marcato</i> in <b>EP</b> , <b>A</b> /Fl. I missing, given in our edition as in the definitive print version in <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Fl. I.
678, 682		682 due to a levelling of the inconsistent markings in A/Strings ( $f_{\vec{x}}$ in mm. 670, 674, but $f$ in mm. 678, 682); we follow the marking in E, EO/Strings ( $f$ in mm. 670, 674, 678, 682), not only because E, EO	702, 704, 706, 712, 714, 716, 722, 724	r.h.	> on note 1 missing in EP as in the version before revision in A/Fl. I, added in our edition as given in the definitive version in A (> added in Fl. I by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Fl. I.

709, 719 r.h. In **EP**, quavers beamed together due to a careless levelling with the quavers in the previous measure; in our edition, separated as in **A**, **E**, **EO**/Fl. I.

726 Solo cresc. in E begins at note 4, but in A ← from note 1 (then changed to cresc. in E to avoid a double ← in mm. 726–9). We therefore give cresc. from note 1, following E (cresc.) and A (from note 1).

726–8 Pf.

728-30

Pf.

739 - 41

740-41

743

744

745

745-6

l.h.

Pf.

Pf.

Pf.

from the version in A prior to revision. Here, Dvořák substituted the folio with pages 129-130 (mm. 717-40) during the revision process of the concerto, most likely after he had completed his piano reduction. Except for mm. 726-8 (and an accent > in m. 729) the piano reduction in EP corresponds largely to the definitive version in the new pages 129-130 in A. Since the substituted pages containing the first version are no longer extant, we can not establish with certainty if the different version in mm. 726-8 in EP is the result of a missing adaptation of the already completed piano reduction to the definitive version in the new pages of A by Dvořák or an intentional variant for the piano reduction. The first possiblity seems more probable though, since Dvořák evidently aimed to follow the orchestral part most closely in his piano reduction. In our edition, we therefore follow the definitive version in A, E, EO/ Strings. The different version in EP can be considered a possible alternative, though. missing in EP as in A/Strings prior to revision, added in our edition as given

in the definitive version in A (<>> added in Viol. I, II, Vle., Vc. in thinner ink by Dvořák), E, EO/Strings. 729 Pf. > in **EP** probably from Strings as given in A prior to revision (see annotation to mm. 726-8 above), not accepted in our edition on basis of the definitive version in A, E, EO/Strings. Pf. 738 In **EP**, **ff** at note 1 as in the version before revision in A/Viol. I, II (not consistent with the *f* in all other instruments); in our edition, **f** according to the

definitive version printed with Dvořák's

authorisation in E, EO/Tutti.

In **EP**, ^ under note 1 in l.h. (not consistent with the staccato dots in the same figuration in Solo, mm. 734, 735); in our edition, staccato dot according to the definitive version in **A**, **E**, **EO**/Vc., Cb. and

- on basis of Solo, mm. 734, 735. In **EP**, *st* begins at note 2 in m. 740 (similarly to the unclear position of < in A/Fl.) and ends before beat 3 in m. 741 due to lack of space. But Dvořák evidently intended <<> to begin at note 1 in m. 739 in all instruments, as clearly indicated in A/Fag., Cor., Trba. by himself and under Cb. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil and then printed in the definitive version with Dvořák's authorisation in E, EO/ Tutti. Therefore, we follow the definitive version in A, E, EO/Tutti: <--- from note 1 in m. 739 to end m. 741. ^ on note 1 in r.h. in m. 739 missing in EP as in A/Fl., Ob. But Dvořák intended ^ on note 1 in m. 739 in all instruments of the tutti, as clearly indicated in A/ Clar., Fag., Cor. by himself and in A/ Trba. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil and then printed in the definitive version with Dvořák's authorisation in E,
- ing to **A**, **E**, **EO**. Staccato dots missing in **EP** due to lack of space under  $\leq$ , given in our edition according to **A**, **E**, **EO**/Vc., Cb. and on basis of **EP**, m. 739.

EO/Tutti. Therefore, we add ^ in accord-

- Pf. In **EP**, *f* instead of *ff* at note 1 and *p* at note 2 missing as given in Strings (*f*) and Vle. (missing *p*) in the completely struck page 137 of **A** with the version prior to revision; in our edition, *ff* at note 1 and *p* at note 2 as given in the definitive version in **A** (page 131 in the new folio inserted before the struck pages 133–137), **E**, **EO**/Strings. Pf. In **EP**,  $\leq$  missing due to lack of space,
  - In **EP**,  $\leq$  missing due to lack of space, given in our edition on basis of the definitive version in **A**, **E**, **EO**/Strings.
  - In EP, f at note 1 and p at note 2 missing due to lack of space; given in our edition on basis of the definitive version in A, E, EO/Strings.
    - In **EP**,  $\leq$  from note 1 in m. 745 to note 2 in m. 746, probably following **A**/Cor.; in our edition, *cresc*. from note 3 in m. 745 on basis of the definitive version in **A**, **E**, **EO**/Strings, since the piano reduction refers to all strings and the increase in dynamic here is intended until the next  $\leq$  in m. 747.

747	Solo	On note $1 > $ in <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , but tenuto in <b>FS FP</b> We follow <b>FS FP</b> on basis of	773–6
		<b>ES, EP.</b> We follow <b>ES, EP</b> on basis of	
		mm. 749 and 751, where the correspond-	
		ing passages in all sources have tenuto on note 1.	
747	Pf.	In <b>EP</b> , <b>ff</b> instead of <b>ffp</b> at note 4 as given	778-80
, 1,	11.	in A/Strings prior to revision ( <i>ff</i> in	110 00
		Strings, $f$ in Clar., Fag., Cor.); in our edi-	
		tion, <i>ffp</i> as given in the definitive version	
		in $\mathbf{A}$ ( $p$ added to $f$ in Clar., Fag., Cor. by	
		Simrock's editor with lead pencil on page	
		137 and then taken over in the definitive	
			792
		version on page 131), E, EO/Clar., Fag., Cor., Strings.	1)2
749	Pf.	0	
749	11.	In EP, $ff$ instead of $fp$ at note 4 as given in $\mathbf{A}$ (Vial L prior to revision; in our edi	
		in A/Viol. I prior to revision; in our edi-	
		tion, $f_p$ as given in the definitive version	705 70'
		in A ( $ff$ struck in Viol. I and $p$ added	795, 79
		to <b>f</b> in Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. by Simrock's	
		editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Ob., Clar.,	
751	Pf.	Fag., Cor., Strings. In <b>FP</b> $\longrightarrow$ <b>f</b> missing as in the version	
751	F1.	In EP, $\leq f$ missing as in the version	799
		before revision in <b>A</b> /Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. (here only <i>—</i> in Clar., <b>f</b> between beat	199
		1 and 2 in Fag. and $\leq$ at beat 2 in	
		-	
		Cor. I, II); added in our edition according to the definitive version in $\mathbf{A}$ ( $\checkmark \mathbf{f}$ in-	806–10
			000-10
		serted in Ob., Clar., Fag., Cor. by Simrock's	
		editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Ob., Clar.,	
751–2	r.h.	Fag., Cor.	
/51-2	1.11.	Staccato dots missing in <b>EP</b> as in the version before revision in <b>A</b> /Clar., Cor. I,	
		II, Viol. I, II, Vle. (staccato dots initially	
		only on note 2 in Cor. I, II in m. 751 and	
		in Vle. in m. 752); added in our edition	
		as given in the definitive version in <b>A</b>	814
		(missing staccato dots in Clar., Cor. I, II,	014
		Viol. I, II, Vle. added by Simrock's editor	
		with lead pencil), E, EO/Clar., Cor. I, II,	
		Viol. I, II, Vle.	
757	Solo	<i>dim.</i> begins in <b>E</b> , <b>EP</b> at note 1. We follow	
		A, ES.	
761–5	r.h.	Slurs in the lower voice missing in <b>EP</b> ,	
		but evidently implied under the slurs in	
		the upper voice; added in our edition on	
		basis of <b>A</b> , <b>E</b> , <b>EO</b> /Viol. I.	
762, 764	r.h.	Staccato dots at note 2, 3 in the lower	
,		voice missing in <b>EP</b> due to lack of space,	
		added in our edition according to the	
		definitive version in $\mathbf{A}$ (staccato dots in	
		Viol. I in m. 762 possibly added at a later	
		time by Dvořák), E, EO/Viol. I.	
773–5	Solo	$\leq$ in E from note 2 in m. 773 to the	
		middle of m. 775, in ES, EP from note 1	
		in m. 774 to note 2 in m. 775, in <b>A</b> from	
		note 2 in m. 773 to note 1 in m. 775. We	
		follow <b>A</b> .	

- In EP, <>> from note 2 in m. 773 until end m. 776 due to a levelling of the dynamic marking to the <</ > our edition, cresc. from note 1 in m. 773 as clearly given in A, E, EO/Strings.
- 78-80 Pf. In EP, cresc. in m. 778 and << from note 1 in m. 779 to note 1 in m. 780, in A cresc. in m. 779 in Strings and in m. 780 in Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II. We follow the definitive version printed with Dvořák's authorisation in E, EO/Clar., Fag., Cor. I, II, Strings: *mf* in m. 780.
  - Solo Text in the main line from A (original version by Dvořák), text in the ossia from E, ES, EP (more comfortable version by Joachim with Dvořák's authorisation).
- 95, 797 l.h. > at note 1 missing in EP as in A/Vc., Cb., added in our edition as given in the definitive print version in E, EO/Fag. (> added on basis of Fl., Ob., Cor. I, II, Viol. I, II).
  - l.h. In **EP**, mistakently *d* instead of *c* in the lower voice, corrected according to A, E, EO/Cor. I, II, Cb. and on basis of the c in the upper voice.
  - Pf. In **EP**, *C* from note 1 in m. 806 until end m. 810 from the version before revision in A/Fag., Cor. III, IV, Vle., Vc., Cb.; in our edition, cre-scen-do according to the definitive version in A (<> struck and replaced in all instruments with cre-scen-do by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Fag., Cor. III, IV, Vle., Vc., Cb.
  - Pf. *ff* missing in **EP** as in the version before revision in A/Fl., Ob., Cor. I, II, Viol. I, II, Vle.; added in our edition as given in the definitive version in A (ff added in Fl., Ob., Cor. I, II, Viol. I, II, Vle. by Simrock's editor with lead pencil), E, EO/Tutti.